

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
ATT-001	RFP, Section A, para h), page 2 of 62	Will offerors still need to complete a Section B in their cost proposal or will the completion of Attachment 0005 suffice?	Offerors shall submit prices using Attachment 0005 - Cost/Price Matrix
ATT-002	Attachment 0008, CBA	Are there any other CBAs that apply to Ft Bliss employees (as the one provided only covers bus and shuttle drivers)?	Refer to Amendment 0002 for Attachment 0019.
ATT-003	Attachment 007 SCA WD and Attachment 0008, CBA	Does the SCA provided in Attachment 0007 and the CBA in Attachment 0008 cover all Labor categories required under this contract?	No.
ATT-004	RFP Attachment 0008 - Collective Bargaining Agreement, Page 44, Schedule "A"	The Government provided Collective Bargaining Agreement wage rates for one labor category, Bus/Shuttle Driver. Will the Government please confirm that offerors are to price only one labor category under the Collective Bargaining Agreement?	Offerors' cost proposals shall include all wage rates and/or labor categories provided for in the two (2) Collective Bargaining Agreements, in addition to any other cost/price information and/or labor categories required by the RFP and PWS. The CBAs list the job titles subject to the CBAs and the labor rates applicable. All other job titles not included in the CBAs would default to either an Exempted rate or the SCA Wage Determination/rates. See CBAs and SCA attachments.
ATT-005	Attachment 0017 – Additional Past Performance Reference Page 1 Instructions	According to the Instructions in Attachment 0017 – Additional Past Performance Reference, "Offerors are afforded the opportunity to provide up to one additional past performance contract reference for itself, up to one additional past performance contract reference for each proposed teammate expected to perform 20% or more of the total value of the contract ... " We have a teammate that we wish to submit a past performance for, to cover a Functional Area that was not identified during the Step Two BOA proposal because of the limit of 10 total past performances. The level of effort will be less than 20% but greater than 5%. We wish to submit this past performance for our Fort Bliss proposal to demonstrate this teammate's qualifications. Can we submit a past performance for them for evaluation with less than 20% level of effort?	IAW M.4.2.1, the Government will not assess an additional reference provided with the Offeror's current proposal if the reference is for a teammate or subcontractor not expected to perform 20% or more of the total value of the contract. The Government will confirm a proposed teammate or subcontractor is expected to perform 20% or more of the total value of the contract as identified on Attachment 0010 - Teaming Matrix.
ATT-006	Attachment 0002 - Staffing Labor Mix	Can worksheet columns at Col. I, M, N etc, be added as needed to incorporate additional Shop or PWS Requirements?	Refer to L.5.2.1.4(ii)(6) which states column(s) indicated as Shop or PWS Requirement should match effort or PWS requirements, the offeror may add/delete as needed.
ATT-007	Attachment 0008- Collective Bargaining Agreement, p. 47	Please confirm that there is <u>only one/1</u> Position Title/Labor Category covered under this Agreement?	Refer to Attachment 0008 for the job classifications that are covered under this Collective Bargaining Agreement.
ATT-008	Attachment 0009	Several installations are mentioned in this document. For example, Fort Hood and Presidio are referenced in addition to Fort Bliss.	Refer to Amendment 0002 for the revised Attachment 0009.
ATT-009	Attachment 002	Does the Government want the bidder to use the sections on exhibit Z to populate the reference row at the top of Attachment 002?	Refer to L.5.2.1.4 which provides directions for completing Attachment 0002.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
ATT-010	Attachment 0008- Collective Bargaining Agreement, p. 47	Are there any other Position Titles/Labor Categories covered by and/or CBAs applicable to this acquisition?	Refer to ATT-002.
ATT-011	n/a	The ASP is in New Mexico. Is there a separate wage rate for the ASP employees because they work in NM rather than in Texas?	The existing Attachment 0007 - Department of Labor Wage Determination covers the ASP performance location.
ATT-012	General	The requirement under RFQ W52P1J-13-R-0034 is for Supply, Transportation, and Maintenance services at Fort Bliss, TX. Fort Bliss actually spans 2 counties in New Mexico. The state of New Mexico is subject to a Gross Receipts Tax (GRT). Are contractors responsible for the GRT on services performed on the New Mexico site of Fort Bliss?	Refer to ATT-011.
ATT-013	Site Visit	The ASP is located in New Mexico. Can the Government clarify if the DOL contract force that works at the ASP fall under a different Wage Determination than the one provided as Attachment 0007?	Refer to ATT-011.
ATT-014	Site Visit	If the DOL contract force that works at the ASP does fall under a different Wage Determination than the one provided as Attachment 0007, can the Govt advise offerors as to which WD applies and incorporate the correct WD as a new Attachment to the solicitation?	Refer to ATT-011.
ATT-015	Attachment 0005	Is Attachment 005 still in development of will the formulas be corrected to include all subordinate CLINs?	The Attachment 0005 - Cost/Price Matrix provided with the solicitation has the correct formulas and CLINs.
ATT-016	Attachment 0005 Cost/Price Matrix PWS Sections 1.3.11, 3.5.7, 4.2.2, and 4.5	Attachment 0005 Cost/Price Matrix has a \$12,265,409 amount for CLIN 0005 related to Other Direct Costs (ODC). The PWS sections indicated in Column B of this worksheet (RFP Reference) requires Contractors to provide various ODC items. Question: Are such items covered in the CLIN 0005 ODC plug amount?	The ODCs (CLIN 0005) have a surrogate number included within the price matrix. This represents the estimate of the expected costs to be incurred annually for this effort. It should include all ODCs as normally included within the contractors disclosed practices. No additional costs should be included beyond these surrogate numbers.
ATT-017	Attachment 0005 Cost/Price Matrix PWS Sections 1.3.11, 3.5.7, 4.2.2, and 4.5	Attachment 0005 Cost/Price Matrix has a \$12,265,409 amount for CLIN 0005 related to Other Direct Costs (ODC). The PWS sections indicated in Column B of this worksheet (RFP Reference) requires Contractors to provide various ODC items. Question: If these items are not included in the CLIN 0005 ODC plug amount, what items referenced in the RFP are excluded?	Refer to ATT-016.
ATT-018	Attachment 0005 Cost/Price Matrix PWS Sections 1.3.11, 3.5.7, 4.2.2, and 4.5	Attachment 0005 Cost/Price Matrix has a \$12,265,409 amount for CLIN 0005 related to Other Direct Costs (ODC). The PWS sections indicated in Column B of this worksheet (RFP Reference) requires Contractors to provide various ODC items. Question: Is it the Government's position that all non-labor/ODC costs are covered in the CLIN 0005 ODC plug?	Refer to ATT-016.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
ATT-019	Attachment 0005	Will the Government provide plug numbers or percentage allocation for the ODC subclins at CLIN 0005 (e.g. CLINS # 0005AA - 0005AI)?	Refer to ATT-016.
ATT-020	Page 49 L.5.2.1.4(ii)(4) The Offeror must insert CBA 1, CBA 2, SCA or Exempt in the CBA/SCA/Exempt column for all proposed labor categories.	What is meant by CBA 1 or CBA 2? Are we to assume the first CBA which only listed Shuttle Bus Drivers on Appendix A as CBA 1 and the CBA that was sent to us on 11/20 as CBA 2?	Refer to Amendment 0004 Section J.
ATT-021	Attachment 0005 and the various applicable Workload Exhibits	If the final Attachment 0005 requests pricing by CLINs as depicted in the Attachment 0005 provided (e.g. OCO / CRC Support ODC, RC PDTE Pass Back ODC, ASC PDTE ODC, MTOE Pass Back ODC, AC/RC Reset ODC, UME Support ODC, etc.) will the Government revise their workload exhibits to reflect workload by these same categories?	Yes. Please see updated TE 5-M-004 TE 5-001 M-S-T where the subCLINs are supplied against the minimum productive hours.
ATT-022	Attachment 0005, Attachment 0001, Section C-5, Page i - ii	Can the Government provide a cross reference between the CLINs and Subclins shown on RFP Attachment 0005 and the specific PWS paragraphs found in Attachment 0001?"	No, not at this time. The PWS describes the type of work that is contemplated under this effort. The CLIN structure is the funding sources and those are subject to change and fluctuate. Please refer to TE 5-M-004 TE 5-001 M-S-T to see where the subCLINs are supplied against the minimum productive hours.
ATT-023	Attachment 5 Cost Price Matrix and Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T, CLIN x003AC	Will the Government provide project hours in Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T for CLIN x003AC OCO / CRC as there is none provided?	Refer to ATT-021
ATT-024	Attachment 5 Cost Price Matrix and Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T, CLIN x002Ac	Will the Government provide project hours in Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T for CLIN x002AC OCO/ CRC as there is none provided?	Refer to ATT-021
ATT-025	Attachment 5 Cost Price Matrix and Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T, CLIN x002AE	Will the Government provide project hours in Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T for CLIN x002AE RC / PDTE as there is none provided?	Refer to ATT-022
ATT-026	Attachment 5 Cost Price Matrix and Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T, CLIN x002AI	Will the Government provide project hours in Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T for CLIN x002AI MTOE as there is none provided?	Refer to ATT-023
ATT-027	Attachment 5 Cost Price Matrix and Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T, CLIN x002AK	Will the Government provide project hours in Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T for CLIN x002AK AC/ RC as there is none provided?	Refer to ATT-024
ATT-028	Attachment 5 Cost Price Matrix and Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T, CLIN x002AM	Will the Government provide project hours in Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T for CLIN x002AM UME as there is none provided?	There is no requirement for UME at this time; however, there may be in the future. For proposal purposes, offerors are not to propose resources for UME. This reimbursable effort will be funded as needed. SubCLIN 0002AM has been removed from Attachment 0005

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response																				
ATT-029	Attachment 5 Cost Price Matrix and Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T, CLIN x004AC	Will the Government provide project hours in Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T for CLIN x004AC OCO / CRC as there is none provided?	Refer to ATT-021																				
ATT-030	Attachment 0013 Mission Essential Contractor Services pages 1 and 2, all subparagraph a-l, less g and k	The PWS references in Attachment 0013 do not match PWS sections/paragraphs with the exception of g. Central Issue Facility (5.12) and k. Individual Chemical Equipment Management Program (ICEMP) (5.12.4). Does the Government intend to issue a revised Attachment 0013?	Attachment 0013 has been updated to reflect PWS paragraph																				
ATT-031	PWS C.5.1 thru C.5.10 (Maintenance) and Attachment 0005 Cost Price Matrix	Attachment 0005 Cost Price Matrix divides IMD requirements into seven (7) distinctive Labor CLINs yet the PWS (C.5.1 thru C.5.10) does not amplify or discuss any of these activities. Please clarify whether all PWS paragraph C.5.1 thru C.5.10 apply equally to each of the seven (7) distinctive Labor CLINs without any nuiances or variances attributable to the specifically separate pricing of OCO/CRC, RC PDTE, ASC PDTE, MTOE, AC Reset or UME?	Refer to ATT-021.																				
ATT-032	PWS C.5.1 thru C.5.10 (Maintenance) and Attachment 0005 Cost Price Matrix	CLINs 0002AM requires UME support be priced separately and the PWS does not specifically address the type of UME "support" requirements. Will the Government please clarify what level of support is to be provided for UME, e.g. mechanics, STAMIS, tow truck, tire repair, batteries, etc?	Refer to ATT-028																				
ATT-033	Attachment 0013 - Mission Essential Contractor Services, page 1; and Attachment 0001 - Fort Bliss PWS, Section C – 5 Page i	<div>There are a number of paragraph tiles and PWS reference numbers in Attachment 0013 “Mission Essential Contractor Services” that do not match up with the with the actual Attachment 0001 “Ft. Bliss PWS”. Please correct or clarify. For example:</div> <table><tr><td><u>Attachment 0013</u></td><td><u>Attachment 0001 (PWS)</u></td></tr><tr><td>Supply Support Activity (5.16)</td><td>Installation Supply Support Activity (5.14)</td></tr><tr><td>Installation Property Book Office (IPBO) (5.15)</td><td>Installation Property Book Office (5.13)</td></tr><tr><td>Packing & Crating (5.16.6)</td><td>Packing & Crating (5.14.8)</td></tr><tr><td>Bulk Fuel (5.18)</td><td>Bulk Retail Fuel Operations (5.16)</td></tr><tr><td>Ammunition Supply Point (ASP) (5.19)</td><td>Ammunition Supply Services (5.17)</td></tr><tr><td>Personal Property Shipment Office (PPSO) (5.22)</td><td>Personal Property Operations (5.19)</td></tr><tr><td>Unit Movements (5.23)</td><td>Unit Movements (5.20)</td></tr><tr><td>Freight Services (5.23.4) (Commercial Cargo)</td><td>Freight Services (5.25.2) (Commercial Cargo)</td></tr><tr><td>Installation Transportation Motor Pool Operation (5.28)</td><td>Motor Pool Operations (5.26)</td></tr></table>	<u>Attachment 0013</u>	<u>Attachment 0001 (PWS)</u>	Supply Support Activity (5.16)	Installation Supply Support Activity (5.14)	Installation Property Book Office (IPBO) (5.15)	Installation Property Book Office (5.13)	Packing & Crating (5.16.6)	Packing & Crating (5.14.8)	Bulk Fuel (5.18)	Bulk Retail Fuel Operations (5.16)	Ammunition Supply Point (ASP) (5.19)	Ammunition Supply Services (5.17)	Personal Property Shipment Office (PPSO) (5.22)	Personal Property Operations (5.19)	Unit Movements (5.23)	Unit Movements (5.20)	Freight Services (5.23.4) (Commercial Cargo)	Freight Services (5.25.2) (Commercial Cargo)	Installation Transportation Motor Pool Operation (5.28)	Motor Pool Operations (5.26)	Refer to ATT-030
<u>Attachment 0013</u>	<u>Attachment 0001 (PWS)</u>																						
Supply Support Activity (5.16)	Installation Supply Support Activity (5.14)																						
Installation Property Book Office (IPBO) (5.15)	Installation Property Book Office (5.13)																						
Packing & Crating (5.16.6)	Packing & Crating (5.14.8)																						
Bulk Fuel (5.18)	Bulk Retail Fuel Operations (5.16)																						
Ammunition Supply Point (ASP) (5.19)	Ammunition Supply Services (5.17)																						
Personal Property Shipment Office (PPSO) (5.22)	Personal Property Operations (5.19)																						
Unit Movements (5.23)	Unit Movements (5.20)																						
Freight Services (5.23.4) (Commercial Cargo)	Freight Services (5.25.2) (Commercial Cargo)																						
Installation Transportation Motor Pool Operation (5.28)	Motor Pool Operations (5.26)																						

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
ATT-034	Attachment 0002-Staffing Labor Mix	When offerers complete Attachment 0002 - Staffing Labor Mix there may be occasion where FTEs are not expressed as whole numbers. For example, a Labor Category may have "1.7" FTEs as a full time employee. Questions: Is it the Government's intent that in this example "1.0" is a full time employee and ".7" FTE would be a part-time employee? Will the Government please clarify the intent of the "FT / PT" column on Attachment 0002?	THE UPDATED ATTACHMENT 0002 REMOVED THE "FT/PT" COLUMN. IT IS NO LONGER A REQUIREMENT TO INDICATE WHAT A FULL TIME OR PART TIME EMPLOYEE WOULD BE. Fractional FTEs are authorized if needed to meet the minimum productive hour requirement.
ATT-035	W53P1J-13-R-0034, Exhibit Z - TE 5-001 Projected Workload Direct Hours & Attachment 0005 "Cost Price Matrix"	Exhibit Z - TE5-001 provides the estimated Man-hour Projections for Maintenance, Supply and Transportation. Contractors use this information to build the individual organizations within Maintenance, Supply and Transportation to support the projected workload numbers provided. The projected man-hours provided in Exhibit Z for Maintenance, Supply and Transportation do not correspond to the cost price matrix requirements in Attachment 0005 in every area (maintenance, supply and transportation CLINs). One example is CLIN 0002AE Reserve Component PDTE and CLIN 0002AG ASC PDTE. Workload data provided in Exhibit Z does not provide a breakout between Reserve Component PDTE and ASC PDTE. This is just one of the examples of the mismatch in data provided in Projected Workload Data in Exhibit Z and the requirements of the Cost Price Matrix in Attachment 0005. Please clarify the Cost Price Matrix requirement to match the Manhour Projections provided in Exhibit Z.	Refer to ATT-021.
ATT-036	Attachment 0005 and TE 5-M-102	Attachment 0005 depicts CLIN 0002AE/AF for RC PDTE Pass Back and 0002AG/AH for ASC PDTE. TE 5-M-102 shows one total for PDTE. Will the government provide the PDTE data between RC and ASC? Also will the government provide PDTE data between shops?	Refer to ATT-021.
ATT-037	Attachment 0005 and TE 5-M-102, TE 5-S-202 and TE 5-T-302	Attachment 0005 depicts CLINs 0002AC/AD; 0003AC/AD; and 0004AC/AD, but the respective TE 5-M-102; TE 5-S-202; and TE 5-T-302 do not reflect any workload for OCO/CRC. Will the government provide OCO/CRC workload?	Refer to ATT-021.
ATT-038	Attachment 0008, CBA	Is there an Addendum to Schedule A of this CBA that shows the actual rates paid for 2012, 2013 and 2014 (vs the percentage increases cited)?	No, not at this time.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
ATT-039	Attachment 0005, Cost Price Matrix, CLIN 0004AC, and PWS 5.20.1.	Please identify or provide workload data that supports this referenced CLIN and PWS 5.20.1	CRC Unit movement workload is included with TMP workload data in TE 5-001 M-S-T
ATT-040	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload, Section - Maintenance Requirement PDTE	How are the Estimated Minimum Man Hours (78,960) allocated between CLINS 0002AE and 0002AG?	Refer to ATT-021.
ATT-041	Attachment 0005, Cost Price Matrix, CLIN 0002AI.	Please identify or provide workload data and PWS paragraph that supports the referenced CLIN.	Refer to ATT-021.
ATT-042	Attachment 0005 Cost Price Matrix Project Management Office PMO	Under what CLIN should project management costs be captured?	Project Management Costs are to be distributed within the support CLINs / subCLINs commensurate with the level of support required. Language is added at Section L.5.4.2.5(i) which states PMO costs shall be allocated across CLINs supported by the PMO. The method of allocation is at the discretion of the offeror; however, the basis for that allocation must be explained in the proposal. Offerors shall provide staffing details to include both total hours and associated Full Time Equivalents (FTE) in the PMO office. The Offeror shall provide references for the calculations of FTEs and associated pricing for those FTEs. This consolidation shall support the information in Attachment 0002 - Staffing/Labor Mix of the technical volume. In addition, the offerors shall provide PMO cost details that comply with the instructions in Paragraph L.5.4.2.7.5 and Paragraph L.5.4.2.7.7, if applicable. As ODC is a surrogate, PMO costs shall not be allocated to that CLIN.
ATT-043	PWS Sect. 5.17 – ASP, p. 103 & Att. 0007, p. 8 of 10 (Hazard Pay Differential) – “These differentials are only applicable to work that has been specifically designated by the agency for ordnance, explosives, and incendiary material differential pay.	IAW w/the above reference, will the Government designate which functions within this PWS Section will require hazard differential pay?	Contractor personnel performing bulk fuel functions in PWS 5.16.1.1 and with the ASP are entitled to hazardous pay. PWS has been update to reflect.
ATT-044	Attachment 0005 Cost Price Matrix; Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours	Can the government provide the anticipated allocation of costs associated with the Sub CLINs for the Installation Management Division on Attachment 0005 Cost Price Matrix?	Refer to ATT-021.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
ATT-045	Attachment 5, Price Matrix	The Cost Price Matrix requires CLINs that do not align with the PWS or with Exhibit Z within the Maintenance Division. Can the KO consolidate to fewer CLINs to group similar work? Recommend as follows: BASOPS, Reimbursable, CRC and PDTE.	Refer to ATT-021
ATT-046	Attachment 5, Price Matrix	The Cost Price Matrix requires CLINs that do not align with the PWS or with Exhibit Z within the Maintenance Division. If these CLINs beyond Base Support represent reimbursable customer work, can the USG provide either plug numbers or provide an approximate percentage that each of the programs represented by CLINs make up of the total Maintenance workload requirements?	Refer to ATT-021
ATT-047	Attachment 5, Price Matrix	The Cost Price Matrix requires CLIN that do not align with data in Exhibit Z within the Divisions (Maintenance, Supply, Transportation). If there cannot be consolidation of the CLINs, percentage estimates or plug numbers provided, can the USG identify manhours associated with each of the CLINs beyond Base Support in each Division?	Refer to ATT-021
ATT-048	Attachment 0013MissionEssenConServ	The Service and PWS Reference do not match on most of the listed functional tasks. For example: i. Installation Property Book Office (IPBO) (5.15), however PWS 5.15 is CONUS Replacement Center. Would the Government review Attachment 0013 and clarify which functional tasks are required?	REFER TO ATT-030
ATT-049	CLIN X002 (IMD) has 7 sets of associated SLINs (Labor/Fee). The workload Data in Exhibit Z does not provide the granularity required to estimate work amongst these functional areas. The workload data in Exhibit AB appears to break out Base Labor (Base Ops MH), and OCO/CRC Labor (OCO MH) but is not clear about the relative breakout between the remaining SLINs.	In order to ensure all offerors are bidding to the same requirement and to preclude the incumbent having an unfair advantage, request the Government consider combining the X002AA-AN SLINs into a combined X002 CLIN/SLINs or provide additional detail regarding the level of effort for each of the seven CLIN X002 IMD functional areas.	Refer to ATT-021
ATT-050	The workload provided in Exhibits AB and Z does not segregate the PDTE Labor requirement between SLINs X002AE-AF (RC PDTE) and SLINs X002AG-AH (ASC PDTE).	In order to ensure all offerors are bidding to the same requirement and to preclude the incumbent having an unfair advantage, request the Government consider combining the X002AE-AH SLINs into a combined X002 CLIN/SLINs or provide additional detail regarding the level of effort for IMD RC PDTE Labor and IMD ASC PDTE Labor.	Refer to ATT-022

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
ATT-051	Attachment 0008 - Collective Bargaining Agreement	The Government has provided Attachment 0008 - Collective Bargaining Agreement, however the CBA only contains one labor category. Will the Government please indicate the major PWS sections applicable the CBA? This information is necessary in order to develop a realistic and accurate pricing approach for the Transportation Division.	Attachment 0008 applies to PWS paragraphs 5.26.7 and 5.26.7.1.
ATT-052	Attachment 0002	The CLINs referencing ASP workload are 0003 AC and 0003 AE. Is CLIN 0003 AE to be used for the base work?	Yes, use subCLIN 0003AE as base work. Attachment 0005 has been modified to include the word "base" in the description.
ATT-053	Reference Attachment 0007, Wage Determination	Are there any labor classifications that have been conformed on the contract? If so, please provide the classification(s) and corresponding rate of pay.	This office is not aware of any conformed labor classifications. Please contact the Department of Labor for further clarification on the Wage Determination.
ATT-054	Attachment 0004, Letter of Consent	The LOC starts, "We, _____, are currently participating as a Subcontractor with..." The RFP distinguishes between teammates, major subcontractors and other subcontractors. Per L.5.3.1.2, only teammates and major subcontractors are required to submit a letter. Will the government consider changing the LOC to allow selection of the term "teammate" or "major subcontractor" in the first sentence?	Refer to Amendment 0006 for the revised Attachment 0004.
ATT-055	Attachment 0019 - CBA	Page 26 indicates the Health & Welfare Rates are set forth in Appendix A. Page 39/Appendix A lists what appear to be hourly wage rates only - no H&W information listed. Please clarify.	CBAs are negotiated directly between the union and the contractor; the Government does not have this information.
ATT-056	Attachment 0019	Which column of the CBA should offerors use?	Offerors should reference the Year Two column in conjunction with the Section L submittal instructions in developing its proposed rates.
ATT-057	Attachment 0005 and treatment of New Mexico's Gross Receipts Tax.	How does the Government want offerors to display the New Mexico Gross Receipts Tax (GRT) on its Attachment 005 (if at all)?	If the cost is a direct cost then it would be included in the ODC and the offeror shall annotate this in its cost assumptions. If the cost is an indirect cost, then the offeror shall include this cost in its expense pools for their indirect rate calculation. Offerors should not assume this tax to be applicable, please check with the New Mexico department of revenue providing specifics of the nature of work to be performed and that the work is performed on a Government installation.
ATT-058	Attachment 0005 and treatment of New Mexico's Gross Receipts Tax.	Does the Government have a preference as to how Offerors present the New Mexico Gross Receipts Tax (GRT) in their cost proposal?	Refer to ATT-057.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
ATT-059	Reference Amendment 0002, Attachment 19, Collective Bargaining Agreement, page 2, Article Recognition.	The article states "employees of the employer performing work in the AYI warehouse, IPBO, and MMS Section at Building 2527". Are only employees that work in Building 2527 covered by the CBA?	Attachment 0019 applies to PWS paragraph 5.14.1.
ATT-060	Attachment 0019	The CBA states on page 2, "The Employer recognizes the Union as the sole and exclusive collective bargaining Representative in matters relating to wages, hours, and working conditions for all hourly employees employed by the Employer performing work in the AY1 Warehouse, IPBO and MMS Section at Building 2527 Haan Road at its Fort Bliss facility in El Paso, Texas..." Can the Government clarify which subparagraphs under paragraph 5.14 are affected by this CBA? There is some confusion as to whether all employees under this paragraph fall under this CBA. Can the Government also define what the MMS is?	Refer to ATT-059. Note: MMS stands for Materiel Management Section. See page C-2 18 (Accronyms & Abbreviations) in the PWS.
ATT-061	W52P1J13R0034_00002, Page #18 L.5.4.2.7.5(a)	Will the Government provide guidance as to which CBAs cover which portions of the contract? This information is necessary to verify compliance with the CBA requirements.	Refer to ATT-051 and ATT-059.
EX-001	Exhibit AB - TE 5-M-102A	What does the heading "OCO WO" mean?	Overseas Contingency Operations Work Order. Work is not performed overseas, the funding is aligned with contingency operations.
EX-002	Exhibit Z - TE 5-001	Transportation workload shown does not include the following "Arrival/Departure Airfield ops, Container Yard, Rail Operations, Freight Services, Passanger Movement, Unit Movement. Where can this information be found?	Please refer to TE 5-T-302 for the requested workload information.
EX-003	Exhibit Z, TE 5-M-102A, TE 5-S-202, TE 5-T-302	Did the Government assume an annaul productive hours rate (e.g. 1880 or 1920 hours per year) .to use when computing its manhours cited in Exhibit Z or any of the other Workload Technical Exhibits?	The annual manhour number is irrelevant to the workload data provided. The workload as written is hours only. There is no reference to manyears where this would apply. Offeror's must follow the instructions provided in section L.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
EX-004	Exhibit Z, TE 5-M-102A, TE 5-S-202, TE 5-T-302	Is shiftwork anticipated for any sections? If so, which sections and what shifts apply?	If, during the course of performance, operational needs fall outside of the core hours of 0730-1730 or exceed capacity, the successful offeror will have to determine the best course of action to meet the Government's needs with coordination with the appropriate Government representatives.
EX-005	TE 5-T-302	Does workload in TE 5-T-302 for Aircraft; Railcars; and Crane Missions belong to A/DACG, or are some of those numbers are also associated with UMO?	All workload for Aircraft; Railcars; and Crane Missions belong to the A/DACG. A/DACG is a section within the Unit Movement Branch (UMB). UMO is typically known as Unit Movement Officer.
EX-006	TE 5-T-302	TE 5-T-302 only has workload for # Railcars & Man-hours. Can the Government please provide workload data for Locomotive Maintenance?	Only operator level PMCS is required by the PWS for the locomotives. IAW PWS paragraph 1.1.3 offerors must rely upon past experience to determine appropriate staffing levels to support. No additional workload information will be provided.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
EX-007	TE 5-T-302	TE 5-T-302 only has workload for Containers Moved/Inspected/Man-hours. Is workload data for light sets or issue / receive documents going to be provided?	The effort to support light sets is minimal and insignificant to overall effort. The minimum productive hours will not be adjusted.
EX-008	TE 5-T-302	TE 5-T-302 only has workload for Outbound Freight. Is workload data going to be provided for GBLs/Reports; Process Invoices; Inbound Freight?	Freight workload data originally titled "Outbound Shipments" is all inclusive. TE's have been updated to reflect "Inbound/Outbound Shipments"
EX-009	TE 5-T-302	TE 5-T-302 only has TMP Bus workload. Is workload for Inspect & Dispatch; Scheduled/Unscheduled Maintenance; Pick-up/Delivery of Vehicles; and # of Vehicle Justifications going to be provided?	The hours provided for TMP Bus Mission include requested workload. No additional breakout will be provided.
EX-010	TE 5-T-302	TE 5-T-302 has workload for Convoy Clearances Requested, GOPAX Requested, Miscellaneous, and Training Certification. Is workload for Meal Tickets; Load Plans going to be provided?	Meal tickets and load planning are not part of this requirement
EX-011	TE 5-M 102	Do Support/Non-Direct Labor Hours reflected on TE 5-M-102 include Tech Inspections (PWS 5.6); Repair Parts (PWS 5.7); PP&C (PWS 5.8); Indirect (PWS 5.9); and Maintenance Operation Funds Management (PWS 5.10)?	TE 5-M-102 has been revised to remove the non-direct / indirect hours.
EX-012	TE 5-M 102	Are all of TE 5-M-102 and 102A only Cost Reimbursable Workload as titled?	The title to TE 5-M-102 has been updated to remove reference to Cost Reimbursable. The workload is inclusive of all funding sources. TE 5-M-102A has been removed.
EX-013	TE 5-M 102	Is TE 5-M-103A-I the Maintenance Density list associated with TE 5-M-102 and 102A totals?	Yes. TE 5-M-102A has been removed.
EX-014	TE 5-M 102	Is there any "Base" workload funded in the DOL accounts?	Refer to EX-012
EX-015	TE 5-M 102	Will National Maintenance, LBE, and RESET workload continue?	No workload is currently forecasted in support of the National Maintenance or LBE Programs. Workload for AC/RC Reset is included in TE 5-001 M-S-T and TE 5-M-102
EX-016	TE 5-M 102	If the National Maintenance, LBE, and RESET workload continues, can we get projections, or do we use FY12 numbers off TE provided?	Refer to EX-015

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
EX-017	TE 5-M 102	Less LBE, RESET, and OCO (not part of "Base" staffing workload); TE 5-M-102 only shows BASOPS and Reimbursable totals of 1086 man-hours for Shop E (C&E), 2971 man-hours for Shop I (Weapons), and 8500 man-hours for Shop K/L (Gen/Paint). This workload is extremely low considering the PWS requirements for Small Arms Maint Teams (PWS 5.2.2.2), Demilitarization of Weapons (5.2.2.4), Allied Trades (PWS 5.5 -Fabrication, Welding, Painting and Body work), and post wide Communication & Electronic work. Is this workload estimate going to be adjusted?	No. It reflects the current workload trend.
EX-018	TE 5-M 102	Is there a workload estimate for UME Mechanics/STAMIS Operators?	Refer to ATT-028
EX-019	TE 5-M 102	Maintenance workload is missing for Emergency Equipment (PWS 5.2.2.5 and 5.2.2.6), MWOs (PWS 5.2.2.7.2, 5.2.3, and 5.2.4), Radioactive material (PWS 5.2.2.8), Maintenance Teams to augment unit maintenance (PWS 5.2.6), Support for fielding of new equipment (PWS 5.3.3), AOAP (PWS 5.3.6). Will the government provide workload data for these areas?	Separate data will not be provided. It is included in the workload totals under TE 5-M-102.
EX-020	Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T, Supply and Services Part	There is workload for SSMO but no corresponding PWS section in Supply and Services, Should the SSMO workload be removed or will the PWS be updated adding the SSMO part?	The PWS never had the requirement for SSMO; therefore, the only requirement was to remove it from the workload data.
EX-021	Exhibit AB TE 5-M-102 Maint Workload/Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Manhours	The total man-hours identified in Exhibit AB TE 5-M-102 for the Maintenance Workload do not match the man-hours identified in Exhibit Z TE 5-001 for the Maintenance Total. Can the government please clarify which hours are correct?	Workload manhours in TE 5-M-102 are correct. TE 5-001 M-S-T has been corrected.
EX-022	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Manhours	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Manhours identifies direct manhours in support of the Redistribution Warehouse and Item Management. However those areas are not identified in the PWS. Can the government identified the Sections in the PWS associated with those areas?	PWS paragraphs 5.14.1, 5.14.2, and 5.14.3 address Item Management and the Redistribution Warehouse is addressed in PWS 5.13
EX-023	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours, Exhibit AB TE 5-M-102 Maint Workload and Attachment 0005 Cost Price Matrix	CLIN 0002AM from Attachment 0005 requires pricing for UME yet Exhibit Z, Exhibit AB nor any other Exhibits provide workload data or density information for UME. Draft RFP versions of Exhibits AB provided FY2011 UME workload but indicated that there was "None for FY2012". Will the Government please clarify where the workload is located for the pricing included under CLIN 0002AM?	Refer to ATT-028

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
EX-024	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours, Exhibit AB TE 5-M-102 Maint Workload and Attachment 0005 Cost Price Matrix	CLINs 0002AE and 0002AG requires bidders to separately price Reserve Component (RC) PDTE Pass Back Labor from Army Sustainment Command (ASC) PDTE Labor, and 0002AI MTOE Pass Back Labor from PDTE Pass Back Labor, yet workloads listed in Exhibit Z and Exhibit AB do not provide the fidelity for such splitting of labor between PDTE and Passback and density lists do not indicate which components are RC or ASC or MTOE. Will the Government please clarify what portions of PDTE workload (found in both Exhibit Z and Exhibit AB) is to be used for these CLINs?	Refer to ATT-021.
EX-025	PWS C.5.1.1, Exhibit AB TE 5-M-102 Maint Workload, and Attachment 0005 Cost Price Matrix	Which CLIN is to be used for Left Behind Equipment (LBE) workload found in Exhibit AB and described in PWS C.5.1.1?	Refer to EX-015
EX-026	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours, Exhibit AM TE 5-S-202 Supply Workload FY12 - 12 June 13 and Attachment 0005 Cost Price Matrix	What portions of Supply workload from Exhibit Z and AM are to be priced for CLINs 0003AA (Base Support Labor) and 0003AC (OCO/CRS Support Labor)?	TE 5-S-202 and Exhibit Z - TE 5-001 M-S-T have been updated to reflect the CLIN structure.
EX-027	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours, Exhibit AO TE 5-T-302 Transportation Workload FY11 - 24 SEPT 12 and Attachment 0005 Cost Price Matrix	What portions of Transportation workload from Exhibit Z and AO are to be priced for CLINs 0004AA (Base Support Labor) and 0004AC (OCO/CRS Support Labor)?	TE 5-T-302 and Exhibit Z - TE 5-001 M-S-T have been updated to reflect the CLIN structure
EX-028	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours	Under the Supply Category for workload and more specifically Supply-PDTE workload, there are 26,620 direct labor hours associated with Pieces of Equipment Hand Receipted to units and another 26,620 direct labor hours associated with Pieces of Equipment Turned in from Units. The number of pieces of equipment is 1812 in both cases and thus would indicate over 14 manhours are required to hand receipt each equipment item to and from units. The 53,240 direct labor hours to receive/issue 3624 pieces of equipment to units seems lopsided when compared to the actual PDTE "maintenance" workload of 1496 equipment pieces requiring 78,960 direct labor hours (listed in the same exhibit). Will the Government please clarify that it takes over 14 direct labor hours to issue and 14 hours of direct labor to return equipment to/from units (e.g. this does not include any maintenance activities as it is under the Supply Workload for Exhibit Z and not maintenance workload)?	The 26,620 direct labor hours is representative of multiple personnel to conduct these activities with the supported units. It is up to each offeror to develop its own methodology on how many personnel are required to successfully perform the effort.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
EX-029	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours	Exhibit Z provides the minimum number of hours to staff the Fort Bliss DOL. Exhibit Z is missing A/DACG, Unit Movements, Railroad Operations Container Yard, and Freight Movement hours that are currently a requirement of the current PWS. Will the Government provide the minimum hours for A/DACG, Unit Movements, Railroad Operations Container Yard, and Freight Movement operations?	Refer to EX-002
EX-030	Exhibit Z TE5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Data	Within the Transportation portion of this document there are not any estimated man-hours given for A/DACG, Container Yard, or rail operations. Are we to assume those hours are included in the hours already provided by the existing exhibit for transportation data?	Refer to EX-002
EX-031	Exhibit D, PM CDRLs, pg 3, PM-02	block 12 states first submission as 15 days after contract award while block 16 states "Draft copy shall be submitted 15 days after contract performance start date. The Government will review and approve the draft copy within 15 days. Final copy, with changes in response to Government comments, shall be submitted not later than 60 days after contract award date.". Please clarify, is the draft copy due 15 days after FOC?	PM-02 has been revised to reflect 15 days after Notice to Proceed date in both blocks 12 and 16
EX-032	Att0001, PWS, pg 18, PWS 1.4.1 and Exhibit D, PM CDRLs, pg 16, PM-08,	Please clarify when CDRL PM-08 is due: 30 days before contract performance start date (Exhibit A) or no later than 30 calendar days after award (PWS).	PM-08 and the PWS have been revised to reflect 30 days after notice to proceed date.
EX-033	Exhibit D, PM CDRLs, pg N/A, PM-21 and PM-22	These CDRLs are missing from the exhibit. Will the Government provide them at a later date?	PM-21 and PM-22 are not part of this requirement.
EX-034	Exhibit A, CD CDRLs, pg 8, CD-07	Requirement is for first submission NLT 45 days After Contract award. However, this is an operational report. Should first submission be NLT 45 days After FOC?	It's 45 days after Notice to Proceed Date. CDRL change made.
EX-035	Exhibit B, IMD CDRLs, pg N/A, IMD-02, IMD-03, and IMD-06	These CDRLs are missing from the exhibit. Will the Government provide them at a later date?	IMD-02, IMD-03, and IMD-06 are not part of this requirement.
EX-036	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T PROJECTED WORKLOAD DIRECT HOURS, page 1 of 1, TRANSPORTATION MOTOR POOL (BUS & HEAVY VEHICLE DRIVER, LIGHT VEHICLE DRIVER, MEDIUM VEHICLE DRIVER, SHUTILE BUS OPERATIONS)	Is the labor for Reimbursable Jobs included in the Estimated Minimum Manhour calculation of the matrix?	Yes; however, please see updated TE 5-001 M-S-T which removes the term 'estimated minimum manhour' and replaces it with minimum productive hours.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
EX-037	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T PROJECTED WORKLOAD DIRECT HOURS, page 1 of 1, TRANSPORTATION MOTOR POOL (DISPATCH, DRIVER TRAINING, BUS & HEAVY VEHICLE DRIVER, LIGHT VEHICLE DRIVER, MEDIUM VEHICLE DRIVER, SCHEDULE MAINTENANCE, SCHEDULING, SHUTILE BUS OPERATIONS).	The workload reflected for several classifications of labor appear to be duplicative and in error. Please verify.	The workload is correct and the best available.
EX-038	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T PROJECTED WORKLOAD DIRECT HOURS and Exhibit AB TE 5-M-102	The workload minimum man-hours and workload data for PP&C Shop Supply & QC, and Support/Non-Direct Labor on the respective Technical Exhibits indicate that approximately 34% of the direct labor hours (non-management and supervision) of the specified minimum required labor hours are engaged in production control, material support, and technical inspection functions only. Is this analysis is correct?	The Government cannot validate an offeror's specific analysis in this forum. The workload data is the best available; offeror's must rely on past experience when proposing on EAGLE task orders.
EX-039	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T PROJECTED WORKLOAD DIRECT HOURS, Transportation	The referenced Transportation projected workload does not contain any data for the areas of Unit Movements, A/DACG, Passenger Movements, Rail Operations, and Freight Services. Question: Will the Government kindly provide the estimated minimum man-hours for the Transportation functional areas of Unit Movements, A/DACG, Passenger Movements, Rail Operations, and Freight Services that will be used in the evaluation IAW RFP paras L.5.2.1.4 and M.3.4.2(i)?	Refer to EX-002
EX-040	Exhibit AO TE 5-T-302 TRANSPORTATION WORKLOAD FY 11 - 24 SEPT 12	The Transportation workload data depicted on the referenced document contains data most current from September 2011. Question: Will the Government kindly provide more current workload that would more realistically reflect the requirements for Transportation support?	TE 5-T-302 is reflective of current workload levels. However, TE 5-001 M-S-T provides the minimum productive hours required under this solicitation.
EX-041	Exhibit AO TE 5-T-302 TRANSPORTATION WORKLOAD FY 11 - 24 SEPT 12	The Transportation workload data depicted on the referenced document contains tables labeled "Base" and "Reimbursable" that do not have any year designation. Question: Will the Government kindly clarify the tables' timeframe by indicating which year the data is reflecting 2011 or 2012?	Refer to EX-040

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
EX-042	Attachment0001FtBlissPWS.pdf & Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T PROJECTED WORKLOAD DIRECT HOURS.pdf	In Attachment0001FtBlissPWS.pdf PWS Para: 1.1.2 & Para: 5.11.1, it states that contractor shall include SSMO as part of the contract, and the USG Provided SSMO Work Load in Exhibit Z under "Supply / Class I (Supply) / SSMO", but there are no PWS paragraphs to support any tasks associated with this workload. Question: Will USG add required PWS tasks to inform of the tasks that are expected in reference to this workload or remove the requirement and workload from this RFP?	Refer to EX-020
EX-043	TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours	Comment: Within Transportation Division, Estimated Minimum Man Hours are only provided for Personal Property and TMP. Question: Does the government anticipate augmentation only for the other sections within the Transportation Division?	No, as indicated in PWS paragraph 1.1.3 not all actions, responsibilities, requirements, constraints, or considerations are addressed and offerors must rely on past experience in supporting this type of effort when developing staffing solutions. TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours provides the MINIMUM productive hours required for this effort. Additionally, please refer to Solicitation sections L&M for further proposal requirements.
EX-044	Attachment 0005 and TE 5-M-102	TE 5-M-102 shows workload for LBE. Attachment 0005 does not show a corresponding CLIN. Where does the government want the LBE cost reflected in the CLIN structure?	Refer to EX-025.
EX-045	TE 5-T-302	TE 5-T-302 does not depict workload for Materiel Movements (5.24); Passenger Movements (5.22) or Movement Control Teams (5.26). Will the government provide that workload?	Workload provided under TE 5-T-302.
EX-046	TE 5-T-302	TE 5-T-302 does not depict workload in Passenger Movements for Processing Individual/Family passenger movement requests; Overseas travel requests; or Port Call requests (5.23.1). Will the government provide?	Workload provided under TE 5-T-302
EX-047	TE 5-T-302	Does UMO teach the Training Certification Classes, or are the hours for their employees certifications?	The hours reflected are for obtaining required certifications.
EX-048	TE 5-T-302 & PWS 5.18.1	Movement Control Teams are mentioned in PWS 5.18.1, but are not further mentioned in Transportation Sub Tasks. There is also no workload associated in TE 5-T-302. Will the government provide workload, and description in PWS or will movement control teams not be staffed?	The tasks identified in Paragraph 5.18.1 are provided to give offerors a general overview of the transportation missions that could fall within the scope of this effort. MCTs are on an as-needed basis only and should not be proposed at this time.
EX-049	Exhibit Z TE-5-001 and Schedule A of the Collectong Bargaining Agreement between AC First, LLC and AFL-CIO Local 351	The projected Transportation Motor Pool workload data in Exhibit Z includes direct manhours for both "Bus" & Heavy Vehicle Driver and "Shuttle Bus" operations. Schedule A of the CBA identifies the labor classification covered under the CBA as "Bus/Shuttle Driver". Does the CBA cover Bus drivers in both the "Bus" & Heavy Vehicle Driver operations and "Shuttle Bus" operations or just the "Shuttle Bus" operations? Do both sets of data fall under the requirements of the CBA?	Yes, both sets of data fall under the requirements of the CBA.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
EX-050	Exhibit Z TE-5-001 and Schedule A of the Collectong Bargaining Agreement between AC First, LLC and AFL-CIO Local 351	The projected Transportation Motor Pool workload data in Exhibit Z includes direct manhours for both "Bus" & Heavy Vehicle Driver and "Shuttle Bus" operations. Schedule A of the CBA identifies the labor classification covered under the CBA as "Bus/Shuttle Driver". If the CBA covers Bus drivers in both the "Bus" & Heavy Vehicle Driver operations and "Shuttle Bus" operations, can the Government provide a breakdown of the projected manhours under "Bus" & Heavy Vehicle Operations that are "Bus" operations covered under the CBA?	Refer to EX-049
EX-051	Exhibit Z TE-5-001	The projected maintenance workload data in Exhibit Z includes 95,220 manhours for "PP&C, Shop Supply, & QC" . This quantity appears to be excessive. Can the Government confirm that this projected workload is correct ?	The government removed the PP&C, Shop Supply and QC hours from the minimum productive hour requirement as they are indirect workload. The hours for those efforts are not caputured in other task areas within TE 5-M-001 TE 5-001 M-S-T or TE 5-M-102. TE 5-001 M-S-T contains only direct productive hours and does not include management, supervisors or any other labor category that may or may not be required to properly staff this effort, as noted in the TE.
EX-052	Exhibit Z TE-5-001	The projected maintenance workload data in Exhibit Z includes 95,220 manhours for "PP&C, Shop Supply, & QC". If this value is correct, can the Government provide a breakdown of the projected manhours by each element i.e, projected manhours for PP&E, projected manhours for Shop Supply, and projected manhours for QC?	Refer to EX-051
EX-053	Exhibit Z TE-5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours and Exhibits AC - AK	Following the site visit and reviewing the equipment density's listed in Exhibits AC through AK, it would appear that the Estimated Minimum Man Hours (2,029 hrs) workload listed for Heavy Equipment Maintenance is in error. Please validate the estimated minimum man-hour workload for Heavy Equipment Maintenance.	No change is being made to TE-5-001 M-S-T as a result of this question. The workload data provided has been verified.
EX-054	Exhibit Z	Exhibit Z does not depict workload for several Transportation functions: UMO (PWS 5.20); ADACG (PWS 5.21); Container Yard (PWS 5.22); Passenger Movements (PWS 5.23); Rail Ops (5.24) or Movement Control Teams (mentioned in PWS 5.18.1). Will the government provide that workload on Exhibit Z?	Workload data is reflected under TE 5-T-302 and TE 5-001 M-S-T.
EX-055	Exhibit Z & PWS 5.12.4	PWS 5.12.4 requires an ICEMP Warehouse but Exhibit Z does not mention it under the CIF workload. Will the government provide workload on Exhibit Z?	TE 5-001 M-S-T has been updated to reflect the ICEMP Warehouse requirements.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
EX-056	Directorate of Logistics Fort Bliss Logistics Support Services, Performance Work Statement, Section C-1, para 1.11, page 23, Section C-5. and Exhibit Z	Para 1.11 appears to require SASMO qualified personnel and generally defines contractor responsibility for CSSAMO or LAC operations. There does not appear to be a corresponding description of work to be performed in PWS para 5.11. In addition there is no workload or minimum hours provided on Exhibit Z. Will the Government please clarify the scope of responsibility for this function?	The information listed in Para 1.11 pertains to the operator/user and the SASMO. If an AIS goes down the Contractor personnel are to ensure that AIS SASMO (IT) personnel is notified. The contractor will notify the COR if mission will be affected due to down time. Each AIS is at different critical levels, that is why after it is reported to SASMO they will refer to the appropriate automated information system (AIS) manual for technical guidance. This workload is considered indirect and in support of other functions and exhibit Z contains only direct productive hours.
EX-057	Reference TE 5-M-102A	What hours are being reported on the Support/Non-Direct Labor line under BASOPS, REIMB, and OCO. What type of non-direct labor contributed to the hours reported?	Refer to EX-011.
EX-058	PWS, Page C-5.29, Section 5.12.4 and Exhibit Z TE 50001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours and Exhibit AM TE 5-S-202 Supply Workload FY12	Exhibit AM TE 5-S-202 Supply Workload FY12 provides workload data of the ICEMP warehouse, Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours does not address the ICEMP. Are ICEMP workload hours included in the CIF direct workload hours shown in Exhibit Z TE 5-001?	Refer to EX-055
EX-059	PWS, Page C-5.32, Section 5.15 and Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours and Exhibit AM TE 5-S-202 Supply Workload FY12	Are CRC workload hours included in the CIF direct workload hours shown in Exhibit Z TE 5-001? If not, please provide workload data for the PWS 5.15.	Refer to PWS-008.
EX-060	Reference Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours.	What percentage of the CIF workload hours shown are in support of PWS 5.15, CONUS Replacement Center (CRC)? If not included in the hours provided please provide workload for CRC support.	Refer to PWS-008.
EX-061	PWS, Page C-5.2, Section 5.1.3.2 and TE 3-001 Government Furnished Facilities	Please identify how many customer service centers there are and in what facilities they are located.	Maintenance - Bldg 2592; Supply - All Facilities; Transportation - All Facilities. TE 3-001 will be updated to indicate facilities with customer service centers.
EX-062	PWS, Page C-5.14, Section 5.9.3 and TE 3-001 Government Furnished Facilities	Please identify how many tool rooms are utilized and in what facilities they are located.	Bldgs 2592, 2941, and 9482 each have 1 tool room; Bldg 2588 has 2. TE 4-G-001 has been updated to note tool room locations and quantities.
EX-063	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T PROJECTED WORKLOAD DIRECT HOURS	Under the Supply/Ammunition Supply (Class V) section of the Projected Workload, shows the Requirement of DEPSHP, Task in Tons, Count of 256, and an Estimated Minimum Man Hours 1,968. Will USG define the Acronym DEPSHP?	Defined as Depot Shipment. Please refer to the PWS, Section C-2, Definitions, Acronyms & Abbreviations

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
EX-064	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T PROJECTED WORKLOAD DIRECT HOURS	Under the Supply/Ammunition Supply (Class V) section of the Projected Workload, shows the Requirement of 'DT's, Task in Tons, Count of 710, and an Estimated Minimum Man Hours 1,560. Will USG define the Acronym DT's?	Defined as Depot Transfer. Please refer to the PWS, Section C-2, Definitions, Acronyms & Abbreviations
EX-065	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T PROJECTED WORKLOAD DIRECT HOURS	Exhibit Z does not list any Estimated Minimum Man-hours and workload description for PWS function 5.5 Allied Trades. Please provide the Estimated Minimum Man-hours or workload description for this function.	Allied trades functions are captured in the commodities workload data and cannot be extracted.
EX-066	Attachment 0001; Section C-5; Page 5; Paragraph 5.2.5 Attachment 001; Section C-5; Pages 29-30; Paragraph 5.13.2 Exhibit AB, TE 5-M-102 Exhibit AM, TE 5-S-202	The PWS requires support to the PDTE in Material Maintenance and in the Supply and Services Division; however, no Direct Man-hours to support PDTE Tasks TE 5-S-202 Supply Workload were provided. Will the Government please provide the direct man-hours required to support the PDTE mission in the PWS paragraph 5.2.5?	PDTE workload is included in both the maintenance and supply sections of TE 5-001 M-S-T as well as TE 5-M-102 and TE 5-S-202
EX-067	Attachment 0001; Section C-1; Page 10; Paragraph 1.4.3 Exhibit D; PM-05; Box 12	The PWS states, "the Contractor shall provide a management and supervisors list not later than 30 calendar days after full operating capability." CDRL PM states that the first submission is due on contract performance start date. Will the Government please clarify when this deliverable is due?	Due NLT 30 days after notice to proceed. PWS corrected to reflect due date.
EX-068	Attachment 0001; Section C-1; Page 12; Paragraph 1.5.1 Attachment 0001; Section C-1; Page 15; Paragraph 1.5.12 Exhibit D; PM-08; Box 16	PWS 1.5.1 states, "The Contractor shall submit its security plans...to the DOL Security Manager and COR no later than 30 calendar days after award for government approval." CDRL PM-08 states, "draft copy will be submitted 30 days before contract performance start date." PWS 1.5.12 states, "the Contractor will develop an Operations Security (OPSEC) SOP/Plan within 90 calendar days of contract award." Is the Security and OPSEC Plan due 30 or 90 days post-award?	The PWS and CDRLs have been updated to reflect that the Plans are due 30 days after Notice to Proceed date.
EX-069	Attachment 0001; Section C-5; Page 28; Paragraph 5.11 Exhibit AM, TE 5-S-202	The PWS does not specifically reference the Installation Furniture Tasks as identified in TE 5 Supply Workload. Will the Government please identify to which paragraph in the PWS the Furniture warehouse tasks should be mapped?	Furniture tasks is to be mapped to PWS paragraph 5.11 as stated under Supply Support Services.
EX-070	Attachment 0001; Section C-5; Pages 32-33; Paragraph 5.16 Exhibit AM, TE 5-S-202	TE 5-S-202 S Supply Workload does not include the direct Man-hrs required to support the tasks in the PWS 5.16. Will the Government please provide the direct Man-hours required to support the Bulk Retail Fuel Operations Tasks?	The minimum manhours required under this effort are reflected in TE 5-001 M-S-T. Historical workload information is included in TE 5-S-202.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
EX-071	Attachment 0001; Section C-5; Pages 60-62; Paragraph 5.26 Exhibit AM, TE 5-S-302 Attachment 0002: Staffing/Labor Mix	TE 5-S-302 S Transportation Workload does not include the workload data/direct Man-hrs required to support Motor Pool Tasks other than the Shuttle Bus services. Will the Government please provide the Workload data/direct Man-hours required to support Motor Pool Operations other than the Shuttle Bus services?	Motor pool workload data included in TE 5-001 M-S-T.
EX-072	Attachment 0001; Section C-5; Pages 60-62; Paragraph 5.2.6 Exhibit Ab TE 5-M-102 Attachment 0005: Cost/Price Matrix; CLIN 0002AM	The Government provided CLIN 002AM to capture cost associated with UME; however, in TE 5-M-102 Maintenance workload UME is not listed. Will the Government please provide the workload direct man hours required to support UME requirement?	Refer to ATT-029
EX-073	Exhibit R TE 1-G-010 STANDARD AND NON-STANDARD SYSTEMS LIST & PWS, p. 31, Sect. 1.11.2.13 Standard and non-standard servers and web-based systems which the Contractor will install, maintain and repair & Att. 005 Cost/Price Matrix	Is this work included/accounted for in Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T PROJECTED WORKLOAD DIRECT HOURS and Exhibit S TE 1-G-011 SERVICE CONTRACTS and/or Att. 005 ODC's surrogate no.'s and/or PWS Sect. 1.12.1?	Workload data included in TE 5-M-102 under general equipment.
EX-074	Exhibit R TE 1-G-010 STANDARD AND NON-STANDARD SYSTEMS LIST & PWS, p. 31, Sect. 1.11.2.13 Standard and non-standard servers and web-based systems which the Contractor will install, maintain and repair.	If the answer to the above question is "No", does the Government have an estimated/projected no. of Man-Hours associated with these work requirements?	Refer to EX-073
EX-075	PWS, p. 31-32, Sect. 1.11.3 & 1.11.4	Is the estimated/projected workload (Man-Hours) associated with this work and PWS Sect. 1.11 in general included/accounted for in Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T PROJECTED WORKLOAD DIRECT HOURS?	The majority of the effort under PWS 1.11 is indirect and not part of the minimum manhour requirements. Refer to EX-073 for workload that is included.
EX-076	PWS, p. 31-32, Sect. 1.11.3 & 1.11.4	If the answer to the above question is "No", does the Government have an estimated/projected no. of Man-Hours associated with these work requirements?	Refer to EX-075.
EX-077	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T PROJECTED WORKLOAD DIRECT HOURS & Att. 0005	To avoid unbalanced pricing, how does the Govt. want Offerors to allocate the labor from Exhibit Z to align with the associated Labor CLIN structure of Att. 0005? This is especially true considering a decent number of these program requirements are not defined in the PWS or associated Technical Exhibits, e.g., no reference to Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO) in PWS.	Refer to ATT-021.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
EX-078	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T PROJECTED WORKLOAD DIRECT HOURS & Att. 0005	To avoid unbalanced pricing, is it acceptable for Offerors to allocate Man-hours from Exhibit Z and associated Labor Cost for same IAW the proportionate share of the ODC amounts shown on Att. 0005?	Refer to ATT-021.
EX-079	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours	Are projected hours related to Unit Movements included in the transportation direct hours?	yes, TE 5-001 M-S-T TMP workload includes support for unit movements
EX-080	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours	Are projected hours related to Arrival Departure Airfield Control Group (A/DACG) included in the transportation direct hours Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T?	Refer to EX-002
EX-081	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours	Are projected hours related to Container Yard Operations included in the transportation direct hours Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T?	Refer to EX-002
EX-082	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours	Are projected hours related to Passenger Movements included in the transportation direct hours Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T?	Refer to EX-002
EX-083	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours	Are projected hours related to Rail Operations included in the transportation direct hours Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T?	Refer to EX-002
EX-084	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours	Are projected hours related to Freight Services included in the transportation direct hours Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T?	Refer to EX-002
EX-085	PM-02, Personnel Qualification/Certification Report	Block 12 states date of first submission is 15th day after contract award however in Block 16 states the draft copy should be submitted 15 days after contract performance start date. Will the Government please confirm if PM-02 is due 15 days after contract award or contract start?	CDRL has been updated to reflect 15 days after Notice to Proceed date for both blocks
EX-086	TE 5-001 M	The PWS has a requirement for Allied Trades work performance, however, TE 5-001 does not provide manhours to support the tasks. Will the Government provide manhours to support the PWS requirement?	Allied Trades work load is included in the minimum manhours provided for Maintenance. No additional break out is available.
EX-087	The workload data in Exhibit AB TE 5-M-102 MAINT WORKLOAD appears to be in conflict with the minimum productive hours in Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T PROJECTED WORKLOAD DIRECT HOURS. Specifically, Exhibit AB estimates 275,630 hours for IMD inclusive of Support/Non-Direct Labor. Exhibit X provides an estimate of 272,665 exclusive of management, supervisors and other required supporting labor categories.	Please advise offerors as to which workload data to be used in estimating this requirement.	TEs have been updated and both reflect a total of 174,631 direct hours

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
EX-088	The workload data in Exhibit AM TE 5-S-202 SUPPLY WORKLOAD FY12 - 12 JUNE 13 appears to be in conflict with the minimum productive hours in Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T PROJECTED WORKLOAD DIRECT HOURS.	Please advise offerors as to which workload data to be used in estimating this requirement.	TE 5-001 M-S-T provides the minimum productive hours required for this effort. TE 5-S-202 provides current workload information to offer a better understanding of how the transactions are spread out over the course of a year.
EX-089	The workload data provided in Exhibit AM TE 5-S-202 SUPPLY WORKLOAD FY12 - 12 JUNE 13 and Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T PROJECTED WORKLOAD DIRECT HOURS does not distinguish between ISD Base Support Labor and ISD OCO/CRC Support Labor. These Exhibits do segregate the level of effort for ISD Ammunition Supply Point (ASP) Support Labor and ISD PDTE Property Accountability (PA) Labor. Additionally, there is not discussion in the PWS that distinguishes the level of effort between ISD Base Support Labor and ISD OCO/CRC Support Labor.	In order to ensure all offerors are bidding to the same requirement and to preclude the incumbent having an unfair advantage, request the Government consider combining the X003AA-AD SLINs into a combined X003 CLIN/SLINs or provide additional detail regarding the level of effort for ISD Base Support Labor and ISD OCO/CRC Support Labor.	Refer to ATT-021.
EX-090	The workload data in Exhibit AO TE 5-T-302 TRANSPORTATION WORKLOAD FY 11 - 24 SEPT 12 appears to repeat itself and is in conflict with the minimum productive hours in Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T PROJECTED WORKLOAD DIRECT HOURS.	Please advise offerors as to which workload data to be used in estimating this requirement.	TE 5-001 M-S-T provides the minimum productive hours required for this effort. TE 5-T-302 provides current workload information to offer a better understanding of how the transactions are spread out over the course of a year.
EX-091	The workload data provided in Exhibit AO TE 5-T-302 TRANSPORTATION WORKLOAD FY 11 - 24 SEPT 12 and Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T PROJECTED WORKLOAD DIRECT HOURS does not distinguish between ITD Base Support Labor and ITD OCO/CRC Support Labor. Additionally, there is not discussion in the PWS that distinguishes the level of effort between ITD Base Support Labor and ITD OCO/CRC Support Labor.	In order to ensure all offerors are bidding to the same requirement and to preclude the incumbent having an unfair advantage, request the Government consider combining the X004AA-AD SLINs into a combined X004 CLIN/SLINs or provide additional detail regarding the ITD Base Support Labor and ITD OCO/CRC Support Labor.	Refer to ATT-021.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
EX-092	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T PROJECTED WORKLOAD DIRECT HOURS	Exhibit Z provides minimum workload for Driver's Training (8,225 hrs.) under the Transportation Rows. However, specific driver training PWS tasks and Performance requirements are not listed in the solicitation documents. Will the Government please provide this information so that offerors may determine the required skill set and labor category requirements?	The hours for Driver Training in TE 5-001 M-S-T refer to the hours associated with maintaining certifications/licensing, etc.
EX-093	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T PROJECTED WORKLOAD DIRECT HOURS	Will the Government please correlate the line items in Exhibit Z to PWS Sections? Many of the line items have generic descriptions that do not provide sufficient information to map them. Further, some PWS sections (such as PWS 5.24 Rail Operations) no not appear to be accounted for in the workload.	TE 5-M-102, TE 5-S-202, TE 5-T-302 as well as Exhibit Z - TE 5-001 M-S-T have been revised and include all workload information available. Additional mapping will not be provided.
EX-094	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T PROJECTED WORKLOAD DIRECT HOURS and PWS 5.25 (Section C-5, page 60).	PWS Section 5.25 identifies requirements for MHE operators however Exhibit Z does not provide minimum workload hours for MHE operations. Will the Government please provide this information?	Not at this time. Offeror's must rely on past experience in support operations such as this when devising its staffing solution.
EX-095	Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours, Page 1 of 1	In the Bulk & Retail Fuel Section of Supply Division, there is workload associated with Dona Ana Range and Oro Grande Range fuel facilities (both remote locations from Main Post). Do these locations require a permanent presence, or do they operate on limited hours based on training activity? Please clarify.	Hours are based on training requirements and for range operation. No permanent body is required at that location. PWS paragraph 5.16.3 has been added for clarification.
EX-096	Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours, Page 1 of 1	In the Transportation Motor Pool Section, the task in several lines is listed as, "Hours driven," but the "Count" column and the "Estimated Minimum Manhours" column contain different numbers. This is confusing. Which figure shall we use to calculate manpower requirements?	The count column has been updated to reflect the minimum productive hours for the lines with the task identified as "hours driven"
EX-097	Attachment 0005 & TE5-M-102	Can the Government confirm that Attachment 0005 Base Support Labor directly relates to the BASOPS Man hours in TE5-M-102?	Yes, the Government confirms that Attachment 0005 Base Support Labor directly relates to the BASOPS Man hours in TE5-M-102.
EX-098	Attachment 0005 & TE5-M-102	Can the Government confirm that Attachment 0005 OCONUS/CRC Labor (CLINS 0002AD/AD) directly relate to the OCO Man hours in TE5-M-102?	Refer to ATT-021.
EX-099	Attachment 0005 & TE5-M-102	Can the Government clarify which Maintenance Workload areas from TE5-M-102 relate to the RC PDTE Pass Back Labor (CLINS 0002AE/AF) on Attachment 0005?	Refer to ATT-021.
EX-100	Attachment 0005 & TE5-M-102	Can the Government clarify which Maintenance Workload areas from TE5-M-102 relate to the ASC PDTE Pass Back Labor (CLINS 0002AG/AH) on Attachment 0005?	Refer to ATT-021.
EX-101	Attachment 0005 & TE5-M-102	Can the Government clarify which Maintenance Workload areas from TE5-M-102 relate to the MTOE PDTE Pass Back Labor (CLINS 0002AI/AJ) on Attachment 0005?	Refer to ATT-021.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
EX-102	Attachment 0005 & TE5-M-102	Can the Government confirm that Attachment 0005 AC/RC Reset Labor (CLINS 0002AK/AL) directly relate to the Reset Man hours in TE5-M-102?	Refer to ATT-021.
EX-103	Attachment 0005 & TE5-M-102	Can the Government confirm that Attachment 0005 UME Labor (CLINS 0002AM/AN) directly relate to the LBE Man hours in TE5-M-102?	Refer to ATT-021.
EX-104	Attachment 0005 & TE5-M-102	If the Government cannot provide direct alignments of workload from the Technical Exhibits to its Attachment 0005 CLINs, will it consider revising its Attachment 0005 to meet the workload estimates provided or revise its workload and PWS to reflect and describe the desired CLINs?	Refer to ATT-021.
EX-105	Attachment 0005 & TE 6 (TE5-S-202)	Attachment 0005 breaks out Supply costs into 4 main areas of 2 Sub-CLINs apiece for a total of 8 CLINs. ASP and PDTE workload data is provided in TE6, however, OCO/CRC Support Labor is not. Will the Government provide the Supply workload that corresponds to OCO/CRC Support?	Refer to ATT-021.
EX-106	Attachment 0005 & Exhibit Z	Attachment 0005 breaks out Supply costs into 4 main areas of 2 Sub-CLINs apiece for a total of 8 CLINs. ASP and PDTE workload data is provided in EXH Z, however, OCO/CRC Support Labor is not. Will the Government provide the projected Supply workload that corresponds to OCO/CRC Support?	Refer to ATT-021.
EX-107	Attachment 0005 & TE 6 (TE5-S-202)	Can the Government confirm that the Supply workload in TE6 for ASP and PDTE operations equate exactly to CLINS 0003AE/AF from Attachment 0005?	Refer to ATT-021
EX-108	Attachment 0005 & TE 6 (TE5-S-202)	Can Offerors assume that Attachment 0005 Base Labor Costs for Supply equate to all Supply Workload costs less ASP, PDTE and OCO/CRC Support labor?	Refer to ATT-021.
EX-109	Attachment 0005 & TE5-T-302	Attachment 0005 breaks out Transportation costs into 2 main areas of 2 Sub-CLINs apiece for a total of 4 CLINs. The 2 main areas are Base Support Labor and OCO/CRC Support Labor. However, the Transportation Workload Exhibit (TE5-T-302) displays workload data into two differently named groups called Base and Reimbursable. Can Offerors assume that Base costs from the TE apply directly to CLINs 0004AA/AB and that all Reimbursable costs from this TE apply directly to CLINs 0004AC/AD?	Information identified as "reimbursable" in TE 5-T-302 will be updated to reflect "OCO/CRC" and correlate directly to SubCLINs 0004AC/AD. Information identified as Base correlates to SubCLINs 0004AA/AB. Also, refer to ATT-021 for additional information

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
EX-110	Attachment 0005 & TE5-T-302	If the transportation workload costs, as divided into Base and Reimbursable amounts in TE5-T-302 DO NOT correlate directly to CLINs 0004AA/AB (Base Support Labor) and 0004AC/AD (OCO/CRC Support Labor) from Attachment 0005, will the Government revise either its Attachment 0005 or transportation workload data so they do align?	Refer to EX-109
EX-111	Attachment 0005 & Exh Z	Attachment 0005 breaks out Transportation costs into 2 main areas of 2 Sub-CLINs apiece for a total of 4 CLINs. The 2 main areas are Base Support Labor and OCO/CRC Support Labor. However, the Transportation Workload in Exh Z displays workload data broken out into Personal Property and Transportation Motor Pool with no reference to what amounts are Reimbursable or base. Will the Government revise its projected workload for transportation in Exhibit Z to allow offerors to properly break out their manning and cost data by the Attachment 0005 areas (Base and Reimbursable) that the Government desires?	Refer to ATT-021.
EX-112	Exh Z (TE5-001-M-S-T) and PWS para 5.5.1 (Sec C-5, p7)	The Government provides estimated minimum man hours for paint shop services at 2309 hours. Can the Government clarify whether these hours include only paint shop activities or the whole scope of Allied Trade functions as described in PWS para 5.5.1 (Sec C-5, p7)?	Hours include only paint shop.
EX-113	PWS Tasks 5.20, 5.21, 5.23, 5.24, 5.25, (Sec C-5, p57 thru 60) and Exhibit Z (TE5-001-M-S-T)	PWS Tasks 5.20, 5.21, 5.23, 5.24, 5.25 has requirements for contractor to perform yet there is no correlating workload provided in Exhibit Z (TE5-001-M-S-T). Is it the Government's intent for the contractor to use Exhibit AO (TE5-T-302 Historical) to project productive hours for the mentioned PWS functions?	Refer to EX-002
EX-114	Exhibit Z (TE5-001-M-S-T) & PWS Tasks 5.20, 5.21, 5.23, 5.24, & 5.25	Exhibit Z (TE5-001-M-S-T) has 133,118 man hours projected to conduct Transportation operations in PWS Task 5.19 and 5.26 only, yet there is a requirement to fulfil PWS Task 5.20, 5.21, 5.23, 5.24, and 5.25, with no corresponding workload. Can the Government clarify whether workload provided in Exhibit Z is the only workload for the Transportation functions in this solicitation?	Refer to EX-002
EX-115	Exhibit AB TE 5-M-102 MAINT WORKLOAD & Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T PROJECTED WORKLOAD DIRECT HOURS; Attachment 0001 PWS, Sec. 5.4-p. 77; 5.9-5.10-p. 83-85	Do the Maintenance Man-hours account for the work requirements of PWS Section 5.4, 5.9 & 5.10?	Workload data in TE 5-M-102 and the minimum productive hours in TE 5-001 M-S-T represent direct hours only and are not inclusive of all tasks required to successfully staff this effort

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
EX-116	Exhibit AB TE 5-M-102 MAINT WORKLOAD & Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T PROJECTED WORKLOAD DIRECT HOURS; Attachment 0001 PWS, Sec. 5.4-p. 77; 5.9-5.10-p. 83-85; 5.1-5.10- p. 71-97.	In addition to PWS Section 5.4, 5.9 & 5.10, are there any Materiel Maintenance PWS Sections (5.1 – 5.10) work requirements that are not accounted for/included in Exhibit Z?	The government has provided the best workload data available at the time of the RFP; however, updates have been made following the review of industry's questions. Please see updated TE 5-M-102 and TE 5-001 M-S-T.
EX-117	Exhibit AB TE 5-M-102 MAINT WORKLOAD & Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T PROJECTED WORKLOAD DIRECT HOURS; Support/Non-direct Labor @ 98,185 Man-hours from Exhibit AB; Conformed Sol.- Amend. A0001, Sec. L.5.2.1.4(i), p. 47	Does this number and corresponding PP&C, SHOP SUPPLY, and QC of 95,220 Man-hours account for/include all of the "Indirect" Labor (e.g., management , supervision, etc...) referenced in Exhibit Z – NOTE to OFFERORS and Sol. Sect. L.5.2.1.4(i)?	Refer to EX-011 and EX-051
EX-118	TE 5-M-103I/Exhibit Z	Does the estimated workload data for "PDTE(Light Equipment) include the Small Arms work orders?	Yes.
EX-119	TE 5-M-103I/Exhibit Z	Does the estimated workload data for "PDTE(Light Equipment) include the heavy vehicle work orders?	NOT
EX-120	TE 5-M-103I/Exhibit Z	Does the PDTE section also have the capabilities to perform small arms repair or are those items inter-shop work ordered to the Weapons shop? If so are those items double counted in the work load data that was presented for each shop?	The PDTE section does have the capability to perform small arms repair. Limited inter-shop work orders are used on occasion. Workload information is only captured within the PDTE data.
EX-121	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T PROJECTED WORKLOAD DIRECT HOURS (TMP); Attachment 0008 Collective Bargaining Agreement, Schedule A, p. 47	Workload Data (TMP) identifies requirements for "Bus & Heavy Vehicle Driver" and "Shuttle Bus Operations". The CBA Position Title/Labor Category is listed as a "Bus/Shuttle Driver". Which portion (e.g., by percentage) of these two/2 TMP Workload Data Requirements are associated with this CBA "Bus/Shuttle Driver" Position?	Refer to EX-049
EX-122	Attachment 0001 Ft Bliss PWS Sec. 5.14.3, p. 101; Sec. 5.13.2, p. 99 & Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T PROJECTED WORKLOAD DIRECT HOURS	<p>There are some work requirements on the referenced Workload that don't crosswalk to PWS Sects., they are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Central Receiving Point Operations – 5.14.3? • Class 1 (Supply) - Unknown • PDTE – 5.13.2? • Redistribution Warehouse - Unknown <p>Question: For the above, please confirm/verify that the - PWS Sects.? shown above are the correct ones for the associated/corresponding work requirements and for the Unknowns, respectfully request the Govt. provide the applicable PWS Sect.(s).</p>	Please see updated PWS, workload data and minimum productive hour requirements provided in TE 5-M-102, TE 5-S-202, TE 5-T-302, and TE 5-001 M-S-T.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
EX-123	Section C-1, Page 10, PWS 1.4.3 and PM-05, Contractor's Management and Supervisors List	PWS 1.4.3 states "the Contractor shall provide a management and supervisors list not later than 30 calendar days after full operating capability" however PM-05 states "the Contractor shall identify in writing on or before contract performance start date the managers and supervisors and their alternatives". Will the Government please clarify the Contractor's Management and Supervisors List date of first submission?	Refer to EX-067
EX-124	RFP, Page 50, Section L.5.4.1.2, and Technical Exhibit TE 5-001-M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours	After subtracting the 60 day Transition In time period, the remaining Base Period is 10 months long. Direct Labor Hours in Technical Exhibit TE 5-001-M-S-T are for a 12 month time period. In order to comply with the requirements of Technical Exhibit TE 5-001-M-S-T we assume an Offeror must pro-rate pro-rate the 12 month workload direct labor hours for a 10 month period of time and that it is not the Government's intent for an Offeror to complete 12 months worth of work in a 10 month timeframe. Please Confirm.	Confirmed. Please see updated attachment 0002 that was shown during the BOA holders' meeting at Rock Island. There are tabs and instructions supporting this requirement.
EX-125	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Project Workload Direct Hours, Page 1, first line	Exhibit Z is showing 1.06 FTEs for Heavy Vehicle Equipment Maintenance. Is this correct Workload Data to support an Armor Division?	Yes.
EX-126	Reference Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload, Section - Maintenance Requirement PDTE (Light Equipment).	The reference "(Light Equipment)" would indicate that only "light maintenance" is covered by the minimum hours indicated (98,960). Please clarify that the requirement does not require heavy equipment maintenance support?	Light equipment maintenance includes only maintenance to the referred light equipment, not heavy equipment.
EX-127	TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours, Transportation Total	The Transportation Total Direct Hours posted with MOD 0002 indicates a total of 133,118. However when we add them up it comes out to 158,707. Question: Can the government confirm which is correct?	158,706 is correct. TE 5-001 M-S-T has been updated to reflect the correct total.
EX-128	TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours --Transportation Totals	In the document it appears the 7800 Manhours for freight and the 17,789 hours for UMB are not added into the transportation total. Will those hours be included?	Refer to EX-127. Those hours are included in the 158,706 total for Transportation
EX-129	Attachment 0005 CostPrice Matrix; TE 5-M-102, and TE 5 -001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours.	Attachment 0005 CostPrice Matrix still has CLIN 0002AM, all the new workload documents (TE 5-M-102, and TE 5 -001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours) have 0 effort for this CLIN. Is there priced work associated with CLIM 0002M? If so, can the Government provided the PWS and workload associated with pricing this CLIN?	Refer to ATT-028

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
EX-130	Amendment 0003 TE 5-M-102; TE 5-001 M-S-T; PWS Section 5 paragraphs 5.5, .6, 5.7, 5.8, 5.9, and 5.10; and RFP Section L paragraph L.5.2.1.4	Amendment 0003 provided updated estimated workload data for minimum staffing computation. However, TE 5-M-102 and TE 5-001 M-S-T do not provide the minimum manhour requirements for the primary maintenance task areas addressed in paragraphs 5.5 (Allied Trades), 5.6 (Technical Inspections), 5.7 (Repair Parts and Amterial Management), 5.8 (Production Control), 5.9 (Indirect Support), and 5.10 (Funds Management). Section L requires offerors to provide staffing based on PWS requiremnts and projected minimum manhour requirement, this cannot be accomplished without the projected minimum manhours requirements for the above PWS tasks. Can the Government please either provide the minimum manhour workload data for PWS tasks 5.5, 5.6, 5.7, 5.8, 4.9, and 5.10 or confirm that there are no contractor manhour requirements associated with these tasks.	Hours for PWS tasks 5.5 are included in the total productive manhours listed in TE 5-001 M-S-T. Refer to EX-115 for indirect task areas mentioned.
EX-131	Amendment 0003, revised Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours	Transportation sections for Personal Property, Transportation Motor Pool and Freight, reference fee CLINs 0004AB and 0004AD. The UMB section does not provide any CLIN reference. Supply sections for Central Receiving Point Operations do not provide a CLIN reference. Can these be reviewed for clarifications?	UMB labor and fee SubCLINs are 0004AA, AB, AC and AD. Central Receiving Point Operations labor and fee SubCLINs are 0003AA, AB, AC, and AD. TE 5-001 M-S-T will be updated to remove all references to fee subCLINs. See Attachment 0005 - Cost-Price Matrix for subCLIN description.
EX-132	Solicitation W52P1J-13-R-0034 Amendment 0003, Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T; PWS paragraph 5.20	There is no definition of UMB in the PWS's acronyms and abbreviations. UMB is mentioned in Unit Movements 5.20. What does the government abbreviate and define as UMB?	UMB stands for Unit Movement Branch. PWS paragraph 5.20.1 has been updated to reflect.
EX-133	Solicitation W52P1J-13-R-0034 Amendment 0003, Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T; PWS paragraph 5.20	Is the UMB workload data in Exhibit Z provided for administrative support only?	No. Direct workload requirements are listed in TE 5-001 M-S-T.
EX-134	Solicitation W52P1J-13-R-0034 Amendment 0003, Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T; PWS paragraph 5.20	If UMB support workload data is only for administrative/management task is the contractor required to support actual physical task associated with ADACG, Container Yard etc? It is unclear which PWS paragraphs apply to the workload data in the UMB section of Exhibit Z (updated with amendment 3) supports.	ADACG and Container yard operations are part of the UMB. Refer to EX-005 for additional information.
EX-135	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-t; Exhibit AO TE 5-T3-302	Transportation Workload data on Exhibit Z is inconsistent with workload data provided in Exhibit AO TE 5-T3-302. Which is correct?	Refer to EX-090
EX-136	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T; Attachment 0005	Personal Property and Transportation Motor Pool sections are labeled with multiple labor CLIN's. How much work should be allocated to each CLIN on Attachment 0005 (example base support labor 0004AA or OCO/CRC support	The allocation between multiple labor subCLINs is provided in the far right two columns of TE 5-001 M-S-T .
EX-137	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T;	It is unclear which CLINs the workload in UMB section should be assigned. If work should be assigned to both Base Support and OCO/CRC CLINs how much to each?	Refer to ATT-021 and EX-136

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
EX-138	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T; Pws 5.25	Is the workload data provided for the number of transactions processed (administrative duties) or is the data for MHE operators and laborers needed for the load and unload and positioning?	Workload data is provided in terms of number of transactions processed; however, the minimum productive hours represent all tasks within PWS section 5.25. The offeror is responsible for developing their staffing solution to support the requirements.
EX-139	Exhibit Z TE 5-M-001 M-S-T Minimum Productive Hours - Amendment 0005.	Do the revised Minimum Productive Hours for Maintenance include PP&C, Shop Supply and QC?	No, refer to EX-011 and EX-051
EX-140	Exhibit Z TE 5-M-001 M-S-T Minimum Productive Hours - Amendment 0005.	UME Support Labor under Maintenance references CLIN 0002AM. There is no CLIN 0002AM on the revised Attachment 0005. Will the Government be revising Attachment 0005?	Refer to ATT-028. TE 5-M-001 TE 5-001 M-S-T will also be updated to remove the reference to UME and subCLIN 0002AM
EX-141	Exhibit Z TE 5-M-001 M-S-T Minimum Productive Hours - Amendment 0005.	There are no Minimum Productive Hours provided for PWS Sections 5.21 (A/DACG), 5.22 (Container Yard Ops), 5.23 (Passenger Movements), or 5.24 (Rail Ops). Please explain or provide.	Refer to EX-002
EX-142	Solicitation, Amendment 0005, Section A, page 2, para (d) and Exhibit Z	Amendment 0005 states that Exhibit AR TE-5-00A Logistics Automation Hours have been added to Section J. However the Government removed Log Automation section from Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Minimum Productive Hours. Request the Government to clarify if Logistics Automation Hours should be included as part of the Exhibit Z.	The hours to perform the logistics automation workload are considered indirect and not part of the minimum productive hours. Offerors must rely on past experience in supporting this type of effort when developing staffing. TE 5-001 M-S-T provides the MINIMUM productive hour requirements.
EX-143	Attachment 0001; Section C-5; Page 5; Paragraph 5.2.6 TE 5-M-102	If the workload data for unit maintenance augmentation, as described in PWS paragraph 5.2.6 is not included in TE 5-M-102, will the Government please provide this information for this requirement?	Refer to ATT-028
EX-144	Attachmend 0001; Section C-5; Page 8; Paragraph 5.6 TE 5-M-102 and TE 5-M-001 M-S-T Minimum Productive Hours	Are the productive hours for technical inspections contained in TE 5-M-102 in each respective shop or is this requirement considered non-productive (support) hours?	For TE 5-M-102 the technical inspections are non-productive and are not included in the hours provided in both the referenced attachment TE 5-M-102 and TE 5-001 M-S-T.
EX-145	TE 5-001 M-S-T	TE 5-001 M-S-T, published on 10/24/2013, contained productive hours for production control, shop supply and quality control as 95,220 hours. TE 5-001 M-S-T, published 11/22/2013, did not list the productive hours for these requirements. Will the Government please provide the productive hours for production control, shop supply and quality control?	Refer to EX-011 and EX-051

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
EX-146	Ref 1: Exhibit Z TE 5-M-001 M-S-T Minimum Productive Hours (Amend 5) Ref 2: Attachment 0005 - Cost-Price Matrix (Amend 5)	Reference 1 under the Transportation Functional Area identifies "Freight 0004AB" that bidders must provide by Sub-ELIN. However, Reference 2 identifies Sub-ELIN 0002AB as a Base Support FEE Sub-ELIN and not a Labor Sub-ELIN under Amendment 5. Will the Government please clarify whether Freight 0004AB should be reported under 0004AA or 0004AC for Labor?	Freight should be reported under 0004AA. TE 5-001 M-S-T has been updated to remove all references to fee subCLINs.
EX-147	Ref 1: Exhibit Z TE 5-M-001 M-S-T Minimum Productive Hours (Amend 5) Ref 2: Attachment 0005 - Cost-Price Matrix (Amend 5)	Reference 1 under the Transportation Functional Area identifies Sub-ELIN's 0004AB and 0004AD for several areas yet those Sub-ELIN's align to non-labor pricing requirements under Reference 2. Will the Government please clarify whether 0004AB and 0004AD will be realigned to an existing Labor Sub-ELIN or perhaps the Government will create new Sub-ELINs for this labor?	TE 5-001 M-S-T has been updated to remove all references to fee subCLINs. No new Transportation Labor subCLINs will be added.
EX-148	Ref 1: Exhibit Z TE 5-M-001 M-S-T Minimum Productive Hours (Amend 5) Ref 2: Attachment 0005 - Cost-Price Matrix (Amend 5)	Reference 1 under the Transportation Functional Area identifies Freight Services as Sub-ELIN 0004AB, yet the percentage column reflects 90% Base and 10% OCO. According to Reference 2, Base Labor should align to 004AA and OCO to 004AC. Will the Government please clarify whether Freight Services should be assigned 90% to 004AA and 10% to 004AC for Labor?	Freight Services should be assigned 90% to 004AA and 10% to 004AC for Labor. TE 5-001 M-S-T will be updated to remove all references to fee subCLINs
EX-149	Amendment 0005 PWS Section 5 Page 32 Paragraph 5.15, Sewing Services; Exhibit AM, TE 5-S-202, Supply Workload; Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T, Minimum Productive Hours	Amendment 0005 provided updated estimated workload data for minimum staffing computation and an updated/revised PWS. The PWS revision includes the addition of a task for Sewing Services in support of CRC operations; however, TEs 5-S-202 and 5-001 M-S-T do not provide the minimum man-hour requirement for this new task. Can the Government please provide the minimum productive hours associated with this new PWS task?	TE 5-001 M-S-T has been updated to reflect minimum productive hours to perform name tag production and sewing within the CRC information.
EX-150	Amendment 0005 PWS Section 5 Page 32 Paragraph 5.15, Sewing Services; Exhibit w, TE 3-G-001, GFP	Amendment 0005 provided an updated /revised PWS. The PWS revision includes the addition of a task for Sewing Services in support of CRC operations. These services include embroidering of nametags and badges for uniforms; however, TE 3- G-001, Government Furnished Property does not include any sewing or embroidering equipment. Will the Government provide the sewing/embroidering equipment necessary to perform the sewing support task under this PWS as a part of the GFP?	Yes, Sewing/embroidering equipment will be provided as GFE. Sewing and embroidering supplies will be purchased by the contractor as a reimburseable to this task. GFE listing will be updated.
EX-151	Amendment 0005 PWS Section 5 Page 32 Paragraph 5.15, Sewing Services; Exhibit w, TE 3-G-001, GFP	The Amendment 0005 PWS revision includes the addition of a task for Sewing Services in support of CRC operations including embroidering of nametags and badges for uniforms; however, TE 3- G-001, Government Furnished Property does not include any sewing or embroidering equipment. If this equipment is not part of the GFP, will the Government recognize any contractor purchase of such equipment as a reimbursable cost under this Task order?	Refer to EX-150

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
EX-152	Attachment 0001, PWS; Section C-1, Page 10; para 1.4.2 Exhibit D, CDRLs PM, PM-04	Para 1.4.2 of the PWS states, "The contingency plan will be submitted to the government for acceptance / approval not later than 60 calendar days after award." However, the referenced CDRL, PM-04, Contingency Plan, states draft copy is submitted 60 days after notice to proceed. Could the Government please clarify the initial submission date?	Plan is to be submitted according to CDRL PM-04, 60 days after notice to proceed. The PWS will be updated accordingly.
EX-153	Attachment 0001, PWS; Section C-1, Page 11; para 1.4.6 Exhibit D, CDRLs PM	Para 1.4.6 states, "The Contractor will provide a transition-in plan in accordance with CDRL PM-07 Transition Plan." However, Exhibit D, PM CDRLs, lists the Transition Plan CDRL as PM-06. Could the Government please provide clarification?	Transition Plan is CDRL PM-06. The PWS will be updated accordingly.
EX-154	Exhibit D, CDRLs PM, PM-20	PM-20 states , in Block 16, "Block 12 & 13 - As required by regulation or COR after performamnce start date. Block 16: As required by regulation not to exceed 30 days after notice to proceed." Could the Government please clarify the submission information in Block 16?	First submission is 30 days after notice to proceed. Subsequent submissions are as required by regulation or COR. CDRL will be updated accordingly
EX-155	Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T Minimum Productive Hours, Page 1 of 1	In the Transportation Motor Pool Section, the task on several lines is listed as, "Hours driven," but the figure in the "Transactions/Count" column exceeds the figure in the "Minimum Productive Manhours" column . This is confusing. Which figure shall we use to calculate manpower requirements?	Refer to EX-096
EX-156	Exhibit Z - 26 October 2013	Exhibit Z (file created 26 Oct 2013) contained a line in Maintenance Division for "PP&C Shop Supply and QC". Previous TE 5-M-102 had a similar line titled "Support/Non-Direct Labor". However on TE 5-M-001 M-S-T and TE 5-M-102 (files created 2 Dec 2013), Maintenance Division has no minimum productive hours listed for the Indirect workload for PP&C, Shop Supply and QC. Those approximate 50 FTEs of support to the maintenance shops are non-existent in the 2 December changes. Q: Does the government plan to restore some level of the PP&C, Shop Supply and QC minimum productive hours? If not, are we to assume that those hours are now covered in the minimum productive hours for the remaining CLINS listed on TE 5-001 M-S-T?	Refer to EX-051.
EX-157	PWS 5.6-5.8, Section C 5, Pg 7 - 8: Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 and Exhibit AB TE 5-M-102	Please provide the complete direct productive man-hours associated with, respectively, the Production Control, Shop Supply, and Technical Inspection requirements	Refer to EX-051.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
EX-158	Exhibit AR, TE 5-00A	Exhibit AR provides Logistics Automation Workload data in number or actions/events. Please provide the corresponding direct productive man-hours required to perform all Logistics Automation requirements.	Refer to EX-142.
EX-159	PWS 5.5, Section C 5, Pg 8 - 13; Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 and Exhibit AB TE 5-M-102	Please provide the complete direct productive man-hours for the Allied Trades workload	Refer to EX-065.
EX-160	Amendment 5; Exh Z (TE 5-001-M-S-T) and TE 5 -00A	Log Automation was removed from Exhibit Z (TE 5-001-M-S-T) but it was included as Attachment TE 5 -00A. Does the Government intend to included Log Automation as a function that offerors must provide staffing for?	Refer to EX-142.
EX-161	Amendment 5; TE 5 -00A	This attachment is labeled "Log Automation Tasks", however, it is referenced in Section A of the solicitation as "Log Automation Hours". This attachment provides a count of the various log Automation Tasks but does not provide the hours associated with those tasks. Does the Government intend to provide the productive hours asociated with those tasks?	Refer to EX-142. Note: The title of Exhibit AR was changed from Logistics Automation Hours to Logistics Automation Tasks.
EX-162	Amendment 5; TE 5 -00A	If the Government intends for offerors to provide staffing against the log automation tasks listed in TE 5 -00A , can the Government provide the associated CLINs and/or Sub-CLINs these tasks align with?	Refer to EX-142. The costs for log automation tasks should be distributed across the CLINs / subCLINs commensurate with the level of support required.
EX-163	PWS 5.15.5 Sewing Services, Revised via Amendment 0005, p. 102; Exhibit AM TE 5-S-202 Supply Workload FY12 Updated 26 Nov 13; Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Minimum Productive Hours (revised per Amend A0005).	Revised PWS 15.5 (Revised per Amendment 0005) contains a new requirement for Sewing Services. Exhibit AM TE 5-S-202 Supply Workload FY12 Updated 26 Nov 13 does not contain workload data for this requirement. Likewise, Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Minimum Productive Hours does not contain any minimum productive hours. Could the government provide workload data and minimum productive hours for the Sewing Services requirement?	Refer to EX-149.
EX-164	TE 5-M-001 M-S-T Minimum Productive Hours: The freight section in Transportation only has one CLIN associated with it (0004AB), which corresponds to Base Support Fee.	Should there also be a Base Support Labor, Base Support Fee, OCO/CRC Support Labor and OCO/CRC Fee CLIN associated to the freight section?	The correct subCLINs are 0004AA and 0004AC. TE 5-001 M-S-T has been updated to reflect.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
GEN-001	RFP, Page 42, Section L.1.9.	The Government states that it is not obligated to provide responses to all questions submitted by Offerors. Will the Government please clarify if it will provide an all inclusive list of questions received including those without a formal response.	The Government makes a good faith effort to provide responses to all questions submitted by Offerors unless a duplicate question was already asked by another Offeror. The Government will not provide an all inclusive list of questions received.
GEN-002	RFP, Page 42, Section L.1.9.	The Government states that it is not obligated to provide responses to all questions submitted by Offerors. Will the Government consider providing a "N/A" response to those questions submitted by industry which will not have a response provided?	The Government does not provide N/A responses on the Question and Answer spreadsheet for those questions that the Government is not responding to.
GEN-003	RFP, Page 43 Section L.2.7 & L.3.3; RFP, Page 53, Section L.5.4.2.7.5(d)	The Government states that the Offeror shall provide historic actual cost data for 2010, 2011 and 2012 and that the historical data shall be provided in the same format as proposed rates and include detailed actual pool and base costs. The Offeror can provide this information; however the self contained file equates to a file size greater than 15 MB. Will the Government allow for the submission of this information via an alternative method to ensure proper functionality of formulas and traceability.	IAW L.3.3, If needed, the Offeror can break attachments into smaller files or use the upload utility multiple times if files exceed the 10MB size limit.
GEN-004	Workload Exhibits	Is there some reason why ASC is not sending the workload data out in Excel format as we asked for at the first BOA Holders meeting and we were told you all would do that for us.	The reason for sending files in pdf is to ensure the integrity of the data from being altered.
GEN-005	General Question	Is the Government able to provide a list of Site Visit attendees?	A list of BOA Holders that attended the site visit will be posted to the EAGLE website.
GEN-006	L.3.4 Digital file names shall use the required naming convention for each specific proposal document identified below. Filenames must be 40 characters or less and must not contain single quotes, spaces and pounds or percent signs. If an Offeror's file name is too long (more than 40 characters), they are permitted to abbreviate the Name of the Company field within the file name to facilitate proposal upload into ASFI BRS.	File Name in the header/footer. Should the offeror include the file extension at the end of the file or not? Example: Offeror's_Name_Vol_4_TOC.docx	No, Offerors must follow the naming conventions provided in section L of the RFP.
GEN-007	Standard Form 33, Page 43 of 64, paragraph L.4.3, 8)	Will the Government reconsider allowing Charts, diagrams, graphs, etc. to be less than 12 point font, possibly 10 point Arial Narrow?	Refer to Amendment 0002 Section L.4.3 5) and L.4.3 8).

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
GEN-008	RFP; Page 2; Paragraph A.d	How much time between contract award and Notice to Proceed (NTP)?	It is the Government's intent to wait for the 10 day protest period to expire before issuing the Notice to Proceed, however, this may change depending on the needs of the Government to expedite the transition and FOC timeline of the new contract. The Government cannot provide a definite timeframe as it is dependent on the needs of the Government to expedite the transition and FOC timeline of the new contract.
GEN-009	General	For planning purposes, can the Government specify an approximate start date for this contract?	The Government anticipates making an award in 3rd Qtr, FY14. Please also refer to GEN-008.
GEN-010	Solicitation Document - Clause Considerations, Page 3	Award of this task order is subject to FAR 52.232-18, Availability of Funds, which states, "Funds are not presently available for this contract. The Government's obligation under this contract is contingent upon the availability of appropriated funds from which payment for contract purposes can be made. No legal liability on the part of the Government for any payment may arise until funds are made available to the Contracting Officer for this contract and until the Contractor received notice of such availability, to be confirmed in writing by the Contracting Officer." In light of the reference () will the government award this contract if there are no funds available? If yes, then does this mean that the contractor selected would have to proceed at risk until funds are available?	No, the Government will only award if there are funds available.
GEN-011	RFP, page 44, L.4.3 8)	This sections states, "Charts, diagrams, graphs, etc. shall be included in the page count limitations and be no less than 12 point font." Will the government make an exception here to allow a smaller font size for charts, diagrams, graphs, graphics, figures, tables, captions, headers and footers?	Refer to question GEN-007.
GEN-012	RFP, page 2	Knowing that there are other capable 8(a) EAGLE BOA holders willing to compete on this solicitation and as a capable 8(a) EAGLE BOA holder ourselves, Would the government consider changing the acquisition type from a Small Business Set-Aside to an 8(a) competition?	At this time, there is no change anticipated for the acquisition strategy of this requirement.
GEN-013	RFP, page 2, paragraph c)	Other Directorate of Logistics requirements with similar size and scope only require a 30 day transition period. This is more beneficial to the government than a 60 day transition, because it saves both time and money. Would the government consider changing the 60 day transition period to a 30 day transition period?	At this time, there is no change anticipated for the transition period of 60 days.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
GEN-014	RFP W52P1J-13-R-0034 Page 43 - Para. L.2.7, L.3.1, Page 50 -Para. L.5.4.1.1 and L.5.4.1.1 (ii)(d)	<p>Reference 1: L.5.4.1.1 Proposals will be utilized to compute the impact of any probable cost adjustments by the Government. MS Excel documents provided in response to the Cost/Price Factor may contain macros. A macro is a series of commands and instructions that are grouped together as a signal command to accomplish a task automatically.</p> <p>Reference 2: L.5.4.1.1 (ii)(d) Failure to provide the Macro Instructions shall render the Offeror's proposal incomplete. The proposal will not be further evaluated and will not be further considered for award.</p> <p>Reference 3: L.2.7 Please note that compressed files (e.g., *.zip) will not be accepted.</p> <p>Reference 4: L.3.1 Offeror shall submit its proposal through Army Single Face to Industry (ASFI) Bid Response System (BRS). The requirements and procedures for offer submission are found in the ASFI BRS Vendor Guide on the EAGLE website.</p> <p>Comment: The ASFI system does not recognize the use of .xlsm files or Excel spreadsheets containing Macros. The ASFI system does allow the acceptance of a *.zip.</p> <p>Question: What is the alternative to uploading macro embedded files onto the ASFI system?</p>	Refer to Amendment 0002 Section L.2.7.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
GEN-015	RFP W52P1J-13-R-0034 Page 43 - Para. L.2.7, L.3.1, Page 50 -Para. L.5.4.1.1 and L.5.4.1.1 (ii)(d)	<p>Reference 1: L.5.4.1.1 Proposals will be utilized to compute the impact of any probable cost adjustments by the Government. MS Excel documents provided in response to the Cost/Price Factor may contain macros. A macro is a series of commands and instructions that are grouped together as a signal command to accomplish a task automatically.</p> <p>Reference 2: L.5.4.1.1 (ii)(d) Failure to provide the Macro Instructions shall render the Offeror's proposal incomplete. The proposal will not be further evaluated and will not be further considered for award.</p> <p>Reference 3: L.2.7 Please note that compressed files (e.g., *.zip) will not be accepted.</p> <p>Reference 4: L.3.1 Offeror shall submit its proposal through Army Single Face to Industry (ASFI) Bid Response System (BRS). The requirements and procedures for offer submission are found in the ASFI BRS Vendor Guide on the EAGLE website.</p> <p>Comment: The ASFI system does not recognize the use of .xlsm files or Excel spreadsheets containing Macros. The ASFI system does allow the acceptance of a *.zip.</p> <p>Question: Will zip. files be allowed as an acceptable form of uploading based on the requirements of the ASFI system?</p>	Refer to Amendment 0002 Section L.2.7.
GEN-016	N/A	How does the Government define "contract performance start date," 1 NTP or upon full operational capability?	Refer to d) in Narrative A0001 of the solicitation which shows the contract performance start date at Notice to Proceed..
GEN-017	N/A	How does the Government define contract "start date," 1 NTP or upon full operational capability?	Refer to d) in Narrative A0001 of the solicitation which shows the contract performance start date at Notice to Proceed..
GEN-018	Site Visit Briefing Slides, Page 5	This slide indicated that the contract would be "Single award, combination Cost Plus Award Fee & Firm Fixed Price contract". The solicitation documents say CPFF. Please clarify.	Refer to Narrative A0001 of the solicitation. Section c) states that this solicitation will result in a single combination cost Plus Fixed Fee (CPFF) contract with a Firm Fixed Price CLIN (Transition CLIN) task order with a one (1) twelve (12) month base period (includes a 60 day transition-in period) and four (4) one (1) year options.
GEN-019	Solicitation Documents, Section A, Page 3	Will the USG consider extending the deadline for proposal submission until at least 10 days after all questions have been answered?	Please review the latest amendment that is released for the current closing date and time.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
GEN-020	Solicitation Documents, Section A, Page 3	Will the USG consider extending the deadline for question submission until 10 days before proposal submission? If further questions arise from Amendments or published Q&A, this will give us an opportunity to ask them.	The Government anticipates providing offerors additional time to submit questions after all questions to date have been answered and revised workload data and PWS have been provided. The amount of time allowed for question submittal would be provided in a formal amendment. No.
GEN-021	Conformed Solicitation (A0001), p. 1, 16, 35	Should the SF33, Section I, Section K and any other forms which are part of the solicitation be taken from the final conformed solicitation or will these parts remain unchanged? If they are to be taken from the final conformed solicitation, it has been the Offeror's experience that not enough time will elapse between the final conformed solicitation release and the final due date. Considering this, respectfully request that you allow for adequate time to execute the contract.	IAW L.5.1.2 an Offeror must EITHER sign all amendment coversheets titled "Amendment of Solicitation/ Modification of Contract" (SF30) OR sign one executed copy of the solicitation coversheet with block fourteen (14) completed. This ensures that an Offeror acknowledges all solicitation documents. Therefore an Offeror must review each amendment of the solicitation for any changes to the proposal requirements. Please review the latest amendment that is released for the current closing date and time.
GEN-022	RFP, General	Because some of the questions we've asked have a serious impact on our proposal, when do you anticipate posting answers?	The Government is currently working on answering the questions proposed by industry and will provide answers as they become available.
GEN-023	Site Visit LRC Briefing by Mr. Vic Erslinger	During the site visit, Mr. Erslinger mentioned there was a new Logistics Readiness Center briefing out explaining the concept of the LRC. Where may we obtain a copy of it?	This briefing is not available at this time. More information may be found at www.goarmy.com
GEN-024	At the site visit, we were told that that maintenance of locomotives is not in the contract.	The PRS in reference to PWS 5.24.1 says, "Perform operator level maintenance on on-hand Government owned locomotives." Will the contract require performance of maintenance on the four government locomotives?	Refer to EX-006 and the updated PWS for additional Rail Operations information.
GEN-025	Site Visit	Will any other WD's be required due to the geographical work location of any DOL employees?	No. All known WD have been provided. However, The successful offeror must ensure compliance with all applicable laws, rules, regulations.
GEN-026	Site Visit, LRC In-Brief Slides, p7	Does the GAFADAC require any special contractor support under this contract?	Not at this time.
GEN-027	Site Visit, LRC In-Brief Slides, p9	What kind of contractor support is required for the Japanese Defense Forces and Other Foreign Nation support?	None at this time.
GEN-028	Site Visit	Government site visit personnel mentioned a Satellite TMP. Can the Government confirm the location and size of this facility (in terms of the number of vehicles supported) and what the contractor workload associated with this facility is?	Workload is included in TE 5-M-102.
GEN-029	Site Visit	Government site visit personnel mentioned a Satellite TMP. Can the Government confirm the hours and days that this site is open?	M-F - 0730-1630, 8 hr day. PWS paragraph 1.4.1 has been updated to reflect the additional information.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
LM-001	RFP W52P1J-13-R-0034, page 59-60 of 62, M.4.2.8	Where the prime is not qualified alone to perform a function (say Transportation - may not have any past performance), will the qualified subcontractor/teammate become the prime for the purpose of relevancy? Does the Prime reference - \$1.5M average annually; Major Subcontractor...indicate Prime or Major Subcontractor?	In accordance with M.4.2.2 an Offeror's past performance record will be assessed to determine their Past performance Confidence Assessment Rating. If an Offeror proposes the use of teammates, major subcontractors, or is a joint venture, the Offeror's past performance record will be assessed in its totality to determine the Offeror's past performance rating. Refer to M.4.2.8(b)(II) - M.4.2.8(b)(III) for the various average annual dollar amounts needed for a reference to be considered relevant from the BOA holder. Refer to M.4.2.8(b)(IV) for the various average annual dollar amounts needed for a reference to be considered relevant from a major subcontractor and teammate performing 20% or more of the total contract value.
LM-002	RFP, Section L, para L.5.3.1.3 , page 46 of 62	When a team mate is an EAGLE BOA holder itself, will the Government consider all of its past performances cited on its EAGLE BOA contract or only the past performance contract(s) submitted by the Offeror on it's EAGLE BOA contract?	Please see RFP section M.4.2.1 "The Government will assess the contract references provided in the Offeror's Step Two proposal and task order proposals to date, the additional references provided with the Offeror's proposal for this Task Order RFP (if any), the Past Performance Questionnaire (Attachment 0003), and other information available, against the past performance criteria set forth below."
LM-003	RFP, Section L, para L.5.3.1.3 , page 46 of 62	If a team mate is an EAGLE BOA holder itself and is considered "Self Certified" in any or all of the EAGLE task areas of Maintenance, Supply and Transportation, can a Small Business offeror use that "Self-Certified" qualification for past performance for that particular teammate or will it have to provide an additional past performance contract from that teammate to establish its past performance qualification?	An Offeror may not use another teammate's "self certified qualification" for past performance of that particular teammate. In accordance with M.4.2.1, the Government will assess the contract references provided in the Offeror's Step Two BOA proposal and task order proposals to date, the additional references provided with the Offeror's proposal for this Task Order RFP (if any), the Past Performance Questionnaire (Attachment 0003), and other information available, against the past performance evaluation criteria set forth in Section M of the solicitation.
LM-004	RFP, Section L, para L.5.4.2.7 1, page 50 of 62	Does the \$1 million dollar amount refer to life of contract or per year amounts?	\$1,000,000 refers to the total contract amount.
LM-005	Draft RFP, Page 42, Paragraph L.5.1.6	For this task order, will the Government provide sponsorship for a BOA Holder who does not have a SECRET Facility Clearance?	The Government will not sponsor clearances.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
LM-006	Solicitation page 44 of 63, paragraph L.5.1.7.1	Please confirm that it is acceptable to the Government that the managing partner of the JV or partnership has a Cost Accounting System that complies with the Cost Accounting Standards/Requirements for a Cost-Plus type contract.	As the Joint Venture is a separate entity, the fact that the managing partner has an approved accounting system does not, in and of itself, automatically satisfy the requirement. The offeror shall provide the information required per L.5.1.7.1 as applicable to the Joint Venture.
LM-007	RFP, Page 53, Section L.5.4.2.7.5(b)	The Government states that the Offeror shall provide the pool and base costs for all proposed indirect expense rates; and that all data shall be provided in a Microsoft Excel file with accompanying explanatory notes. The Government goes on to state that proposals also shall include an appropriately detailed description of how proposed indirect rates have been applied to proposed direct costs. The Offeror currently has an FPRR issued by DCMA. Per TINA, the offeror is obligated to price with our the most current information available. DI's CY13 – CY17 FPRP was submitted to DCMA and audited by DCAA. The unilateral agreement was executed however the detailed support for the pool and cost data were not provided to the Offeror. Will the the submission of our FPRR satisfy this requirement?	Yes, however, prime and any subcontractors must include all correspondence to and from DCAA/DCMA related to their forward pricing proposals and/or recommendations. Rate data must be provided in MS Excel, however, if the correspondence is in a file format other than MS Excel, it may be embedded in the rate data Excel file.
LM-008	RFP, Page 53, Section L.5.4.2.7.5(b)	The Government states that Offerors shall provide the pool and base costs for all proposed indirect expense rates and that all data shall be provided in a Microsoft Excel file with accompanying explanatory notes. Are offerors able to provide an embedded PDF document into a Microsoft Excel file?	Yes.
LM-009	RFP, Page 19, Section I-143; RFP, Page 52, Section L.5.4.2.7.1	The Government states in setction I-143 of the RFP that the Submission of certified cost or pricing data is not required and that the Offeror shall provide data described in Section L.5.4 of the RFP. In section L.5.4.2.7.1 the Government states that the Offeror and proposed Teammates / Subcontractors shall provide a detailed cost element proposal by CLIN submitted electronically in Microsoft Excel format, with working formulas - prepared in accordance with Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 15.408 -- Solicitation Provisions and Contract Clauses Table 15-2, Instructions for Submitting Cost/Price Proposals When Certified Cost or Pricing Data Are Required. Will the Government please clarify if the submission of Certified Cost and Pricing data will be required.	Pursuant to FAR 15.403-1, certified Cost and Pricing Data is not required, as adequate price competition is anticipated. However, submission of data other than certified cost or pricing data is required IAW FAR 15.403-3(a). L.5.4.2.7.1 provides the data and the format that are required for submission of other than cost or pricing data, IAW FAR 15.408 and 52.215-20 (Alternate IV), which includes instructions to offerors to prepare a detailed cost element proposal and submit their other than cost or pricing data in accordance with the Table 15-2 requirements for proposal submission.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
LM-010	RFP, Page 43, Section L.2.7 & L.3.3; RFP, Page 53-54, Section L.5.4.2.7.5; RFP, Page 54, Section L.5.4.2.7.7	In section L.5.4.2.7.5, and subsections (a-d), the Government requests Rate data for Direct Labor Rates, Indirect Expense Rates, Budgetary Data, Historical Actual Cost Data, and a side by side comparison of labor categories proposed which are applicable to SCA and CBA's. The Government goes on to define the submission of this information in one file. The Government also identifies file size limitations within L.3.3 and submission requirements in Section L.2.7. Given the complexity and detail related to the supporting documentation requirements will the Government consider separating each element of supporting documentation identified within L.5.4.2.7.5, and subsections, into their own respective submission files opposed to one consolidated file in support of this requirement?	The side by side comparison detailing all proposed labor categories requested at L.5.4.2.7.7 shall be provided in a separate file than the rate data requested at L.5.4.2.7.5. The rate data, which includes (a) Direct Labor Rates (b) Indirect Expense Rates (c) Budgetary Data and (d) Historic Actual Cost Data (Historical Rates) may be provided as one file or split into multiple files. Please note that if you choose to split rate data requested at L.5.4.2.7.5 into more than one file, the naming convention should identify this split. For example, if an offeror chooses to provide direct labor rates in one file the naming convention of this file shall be Offerors_Name_Vol_4_Rate_Data_direct_labor_rates. If an offeror chooses to provide direct labor rates in two files the naming convention of these files shall be Offerors_Name_Vol_4_Rate_Data_direct_labor_rates_1 and Offerors_Name_Vol_4_Rate_Data_direct_labor_rates_2, respectively.
LM-011	RFP, Page 53, Section L.5.4.2.7.5 (b) & (c)	The government identifies a requirement to provide pool and base costs for all proposed Indirect expense rates within Sub-section C, the Government goes on to state that the Offeror should provide budgetary rate data for 2013 through 2017 with appropriately detailed explanations. If the Offeror has provided this information to DCMA / DCAA and has a Forward Pricing Rate Agreement / Forward Pricing Recommended Rates and/or Forward Pricing Rate Proposal with DCMA will the provision of these FPR documents by the Offeror satisfy these requirements?	Yes, however, prime and any subcontractors must include all correspondence to and from DCAA/DCMA related to their forward pricing proposals and/or recommendations. Rate data must be provided in MS Excel, however, if the correspondence is in a file format other than MS Excel, it may be embedded into the rate data excel file.
LM-012	RFP, Page 53, Section L.5.4.2.7.5 (d)	The government identifies a requirement to provide Historical Actual Cost Data for 2010, 2011 and 2012 and that the historical data shall be provided in the same format as proposed rates and include detailed actual pool and base costs. Will the Government consider the separation of this requirement and allow for the submission of audited Historical & Financial Information from its Certified Public Accountant in either a Adobe or Microsoft Word Format?	The historical actual pool and rate data must include specifics for the pool and base cost and not just adjusted financial statements. There must be specific information for all applicable indirect rates apparent; the government will not use the financial statements to determine the historic rates.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
LM-013	RFP, Page 53, Section L.5.4.2.7.5 (a); RFP, Page 54, Section L.5.4.2.7.7	The Government requests Rate data for Direct Labor Rates within section L.5.4.2.7.5 (a) and the provision of a side by side comparison detailing all proposed labor categories that are applicable to SCA and CBA's. Will the Government consider seperating these two elements of the submission requirement identified at L.5.4.3.7.7(a) into thier own seperate and distinct submission file from those identified within Sections L.5.4.2.6.5 (b), (c) & (d)?	Refer to LM-010.
LM-014	RFP, Page 54, Section L.5.4.2.7.7	The Government states that the Offeror shall provide a side by side comparison detailing all proposed labor categories that are applicable to the Service Contract Act (Attachment 0007) or Collective Bargaining Agreements (Attachment 0008 & Attachment 0019). Will the Government please clarify what information will be compared within this requirement and how it should be compared within the submission document.	The Offeror's proposed labor categories and rates will be compared to those provided for in the SCA or CBA requirements to check for compliance with the SCA or CBA requirements. It is up to the offeror to determine how they want to present the information.
LM-015	RFP page 47 paragraph L.5.3.1.3.	On the Ft Lee RFP, W52P1J12R0114, Q&A LM - 06, the Government was asked "In BOA Step 2 , if a prime contractor proposed certain functional areas for a named subcontractor in attachment 0002, will the government allow that named subcontractor to perform services in other functional areas as long as the additional reference is submitted in the proposal. For Example: Company XYZ was submitted as a subcontractor for Supply and Transportation in BOA Step 2. Now for Step 3 can company XYZ provide one additional reference and be assigned to perform work in Maintenance." To which the Government responded with "An additional reference is not required for this to occur, approved subcontractors as a result of Step 2 may be utilized in any functional area." Does this apply to the Ft Bliss solicitation or does a past performance need to be submitted?	Yes.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
LM-016	RFP page 47 paragraph L.5.3.1.3.	On the Ft Lee RFP, W52P1J12R0114, Q&A RFP - 03, the Government was asked "This section states, "Step Two Offerors were required to demonstrate the capability to perform all three functional areas. That capability may have been achieved with or without teammates. Any approved teammates listed in the Offerors Attachment 0002 - Team Arrangement, may be utilized to perform any of the functions in Step Three. The Government will verify that the Offeror's proposal includes approved teammates by comparing the Offeror's proposal to their BOA Attachment 0002 - Team Arrangement." Offerors Attachment 0002 - Team Arrangement states, "When submitting a proposal in Step Three, [redacted] may propose itself and/or the approved subcontractors listed in paragraph 2 below to perform any Maintenance, Supply or Transportation task." Based on this language, if an offeror did not demonstrate organizational capability without the reliance of teammates, do they have to bid teammates or can they selfperform the contract? To which the Government responded with "In this example, the BOA holder may propose to self perform a task order. However, this BOA holder may not propose the use of any unapproved subcontractors." Does this apply to the Ft Bliss solicitation or does a past performance need to be submitted?	Yes.
LM-017	RFP page 47 paragraph L.5.3.1.3.	On the Ft Lee RFP, W52P1J12R0114, Q&A RFP - 26, the Government was asked "Per Section H, " Any approved teammates listed in the Offerors Attachment 0002 - Team Arrangement, may be utilized to perform any of the functions in Step Three." Question: Does this mean that a Prime can now propose themselves to provide a service that they subcontracted to a teammate in Step 2? If so, will a Past Performance reference demonstrating the Prime's experience providing this service suffice?" To which the Government responded with "Yes, the Prime can propose themsevles." Does this apply to the Ft Bliss Solicitation?	Yes.
LM-018	Conformed Solicitation (Amend 0001), p. 46, Section L.5.2.1.1(iv) (c)	Please confirm that the Mission Capability Narrative requirement of Section L.5.2.1.1 (iv) (c) is 11 pages.	Yes, the Mission Capability Narrative is 11 pages.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
LM-019	RFP, page 44-46, L.5.1 - L.5.1.9(d)	The General documents section require submission of Vol 1 documents in ten separate files. Since all these files are to be in either Word or Adobe format, will the government consider changing this requirement to allow submission of Vol 1 in a single file in either Word or Adobe format? The separate sections would be defined in the volume TOC. This method would also allow for just one title page for the volume containing the restriction or disclosure and use of data as specified in FAR 52.215-1.	No, Offerors must follow the submittal instructions in Section L.
LM-020	RFP, pages 46-47, L.5.2 - L.5.2.1.3(iii)(d)	The Technical Factor section require submission of Vol 2 mission capability narrative, mission essential contractor services and organizational diagram as three separate files. Since these files are all to be in either Word or Adobe format, will the government consider changing this requirement to allow submission as a single file in Word or Acrobat format? The separate sections would be defined in the volume TOC. This method would also allow for just one title page for the volume containing the restriction or disclosure and use of data as specified in FAR 52.215-1.	Refer to LM-019.
LM-021	RFP, pages 48-50, L.5.3 - L.5.3.5(iii)(d)	The Past Performance section requires submission of Vol 3 attachments 0004, 0017 and 0003 as three separate files. Since these files are all to be in either Word or Adobe format, will the government consider changing this requirement to allow submission as a single file in Word or Acrobat format? The separate sections would be defined in the volume TOC. This method would also allow for just one title page for the volume containing the restriction or disclosure and use of data as specified in FAR 52.215-1.	Refer to LM-019.
LM-022	RFP, page 60. M.4.2.7 Recency.	In the referenced paragraph it states "Recency, as it pertains to past performance information, is a measure of the time that has elapsed since the past performance reference occurred. Recency is generally expressed as a time period during which past performance references are considered relevant. For the purpose of this requirement recency is any contract under which any performance, delivery, or corrective action has occurred within the following time standards: three (3) years prior to the RFP closing date, even if the award date is outside this three (3) year window." Are past performance references used to qualify for the BOA Step 2 and were performed more than 3 years ago not qualify for the Recency test as described by the referenced RFP evaluation factor from Section M?	Yes, a past performance reference that was used to qualify for the BOA Step 2 process will qualify under the Recency test (as described in M.4.2.7) for this solicitation if any performance, delivery, or corrective action on said reference occurred within three (3) years of the RFP closing date, even if the award date of said reference was outside that three (3) year window.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
LM-023	RFP, page 60. M.4.2.7 Recency.	If past performance references used to qualify for the BOA Step 2 and was performed more than 3 years ago do not qualify for the Recency test as described by the referenced RFP evaluation factor from Section M, then we must submit past performance information for any teammate who has old information from Step 2 and to submit a more recent past performance citation the teammate must perform at least 20% of the effort?	IAW L.5.3.1.3 an Offeror is afforded the opportunity to provide up to one additional past performance contract reference for itself, up to one additional past performance contract reference for each proposed teammate expected to perform 20% or more of the total value of the contract, and up to one additional past performance contract reference for each major subcontractor (beyond those provided with the Offerors BOA proposal) but is not required to do so.
LM-024	Solicitation, Pages 44-54, L.5	Section L.5 of the solicitation discusses information that is to be submitted with our response. In reviewing the requirements, we did not see anywhere to include items such as cover letters, signed title pages, or tables of contents (with the exception of the price volume). Will the government please clarify if additional items such as those named above will be accepted with the submission of each of our volumes? If yes, should they follow the same naming convention (i.e. the cover letter could be titled Company_Vol_1_CoverLetter)?	An Offeror may submit a cover page, table of contents, glossary of abbreviations, and acronyms as part of its submission but it is not required to do so (with the exception of the requirement for the price volume table of contents). Note that pursuant to Section L.4.3 9), if an Offeror decides to submit a cover page, table of contents, glossary of abbreviations, and/or acronyms in connection with one of the required documents, the cover/table/glossary/acronyms will not be counted against the page limitations of that particular required document. Since the cover/table/glossary/acronyms would be submitted as part of the required document (and combined therewith), it would not have a separate naming convention.
LM-025	RFP, Page 51-54, Section L.5.4.2.1.(a), Section L.5.4.2.2 (a), Section L.5.4.2.3(a), Section L.5.4.2.4(a), Section L.5.4.2.5(a), Section L.5.4.2.7.1(a), Section L.5.4.2.7.2(a), Section L.5.4.2.7.7(a)	Will the Government confirm that the naming convention for proposed teammates/subcontractors should be differentiated from the naming convention of Prime Offerors.	Refer to Amendment 0002 Section L.5.4 for the naming conventions for Teammates/Subcontractor submissions.
LM-026	RFP, Page 52, L.5.4.2.7.2(a)	If the Offeror has multiple teammates/subcontractors submitting attachment 0018, should the subcontractor modify file name "Offeror's_Name_Vol_4_Att0018" to avoid receipt of multiple files of the same name in the ASFI Bid Response System?	Refer to LM-025.
LM-027	RFP, Page 51-54, Section L.5.4.2.1.(a), Section L.5.4.2.2 (a), Section L.5.4.2.3(a), Section L.5.4.2.4(a), Section L.5.4.2.5(a), Section L.5.4.2.7.1(a), Section L.5.4.2.7.2(a), Section L.5.4.2.7.7(a)	If the naming conventions for proposed teammates / subcontractors should be differentiated from the naming convention of Prime Offerors will the government please clarify the proper naming convention for teammates/subcontractors.	Refer to LM-025.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
LM-028	RFP, Page 53, L.5.4.2.7.5	Paragraph L.5.4.1.7.5(d)(ii) states that the file format for rate data requested in paragraphs L.5.4.1.7.5(a) through L.5.4.1.7.5(d) shall be MS Excel. However, the Government requires detailed descriptions for several items, such as the application of proposed indirect rates on direct costs. Is it acceptable for Offeror's to submit explanations accompanying the MS Excel rate data in MS Word format?	L.5.4.1.7.5(d)(ii) was renumbered to L.5.4.2.7.5(d)(ii) in Amendment 0002. Rate data must be provided in MS Excel, however, if the correspondence is in a file format other than MS Excel, it may be embedded in the rate data Excel file.
LM-029	Solicitation, Page 53	Sections L.5.4.2.7.5(a) - L.5.4.2.7.5(d) of the solicitation requires information to be submitted that may be better served in a word or PDF file rather than an excel file (i.e. current wage surveys as supporting data for direct labor rates). Would the government consider changing the file format for this section to include MS Word and PDF in addition to MS Excel?	Refer to LM-028.
LM-030	Attachment 0008, CBA	This CBA only shows prices through 2014. Does the Government want offerors to escalate prices for CBA labor categories based on its previous escalation history or cap the rate at the 2014 level for the future years?	Refer to Amendment 0002 Section L.5.4.2.7.5(a).
LM-031	RFP, Page 61 of 63	<p>M.4.2.8(b)(III) When reviewing contract references for magnitude and complexity, the evaluator will determine the functional areas contained in a contract reference. For example, if all three functional areas apply to the Offeror's contract reference, the average annual dollar value must be at least \$3.2M for the reference to be determined similar in magnitude and complexity. If the Maintenance and Supply functional areas apply to the Offeror's contract reference, the average annual dollar value must be at least \$2.2M for the reference to be determined similar in magnitude and complexity.</p> <p>Question: Based on the stipulation, EAGLE has eliminated more than 50% of the small businesses. this is totally unfair to all small businesses. Will the government reconsider this stipulation?</p>	<p>The DoD Source Selection Procedures require that relevancy is defined in regard to scope, magnitude and complexity. The Step Two RFP defined relevancy as "Recent contracts which provided services in any of the three functional areas of Maintenance, Supply, and/or Transportation services; representative missions; maintain equipment; or manage classes of supply as outlined in Section L.3.1.1." (Reference W52P1J-12-R-0076). Each installation varies in size and complexity which requires a different definition of relevancy in the Task Order RFPs. The relevancy thresholds provided in the Bliss RFP are representative of the size, magnitude, and complexity of the Ft. Bliss requirements, therefore, at this time there will be no change to the past performance criteria. At this time there will be no change to the past performance criteria.</p>

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
LM-032	RFP, Page 61 of 63	<p>M.4.2.8(b)(III) When reviewing contract references for magnitude and complexity, the evaluator will determine the functional areas contained in a contract reference. For example, if all three functional areas apply to the Offeror's contract reference, the average annual dollar value must be at least \$3.2M for the reference to be determined similar in magnitude and complexity. If the Maintenance and Supply functional areas apply to the Offeror's contract reference, the average annual dollar value must be at least \$2.2M for the reference to be determined similar in magnitude and complexity.</p> <p>Question: It appears the government is attempting to further reduce the playing field based on this stipulation. Why not consider the proposals based on their merit and the ability of the PRIME to provide the dollars necessary to staff and manage the contract?</p>	Refer to LM-031.
LM-033	RFP, Page 61 of 63	<p>M.4.2.8(b)(III) When reviewing contract references for magnitude and complexity, the evaluator will determine the functional areas contained in a contract reference. For example, if all three functional areas apply to the Offeror's contract reference, the average annual dollar value must be at least \$3.2M for the reference to be determined similar in magnitude and complexity. If the Maintenance and Supply functional areas apply to the Offeror's contract reference, the average annual dollar value must be at least \$2.2M for the reference to be determined similar in magnitude and complexity.</p> <p>Question: It is alarming to think that we and other small businesses, have worked so hard to get to a place where we can compete in the DOL arena, earn a BOA, and still get penalized. There is no precedence for the stipulation. There is no proof that it is warranted. What proof or risk of failure has been warranted by past performance of other companies in this business arena?</p>	Refer to LM-031.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
LM-034	RFP, Page 61 of 63	<p>M.4.2.8(b)(III) When reviewing contract references for magnitude and complexity, the evaluator will determine the functional areas contained in a contract reference. For example, if all three functional areas apply to the Offeror's contract reference, the average annual dollar value must be at least \$3.2M for the reference to be determined similar in magnitude and complexity. If the Maintenance and Supply functional areas apply to the Offeror's contract reference, the average annual dollar value must be at least \$2.2M for the reference to be determined similar in magnitude and complexity.</p> <p>Question: It appears the government is attempting to further reduce the playing field based on this stipulation. Why not consider the proposals based on their merit and the ability of the PRIME to provide the dollars necessary to staff and manage the contract?</p>	Refer to LM-031.
LM-035	RFP, Page 61 of 63	<p>M.4.2.8(b)(III) When reviewing contract references for magnitude and complexity, the evaluator will determine the functional areas contained in a contract reference. For example, if all three functional areas apply to the Offeror's contract reference, the average annual dollar value must be at least \$3.2M for the reference to be determined similar in magnitude and complexity. If the Maintenance and Supply functional areas apply to the Offeror's contract reference, the average annual dollar value must be at least \$2.2M for the reference to be determined similar in magnitude and complexity.</p> <p>Question: Will EAGLE put stipulations for "Large Businesses" or Full & Open competitions as well?</p>	<p>The thresholds used for each individual Task Order RFP are determined based on the requirement's complexity and magnitude in order to evaluate references of similar magnitude and complexity. Most EAGLE task orders, with the general exception of LPTA's, will require offerors to meet relevancy criteria in order for past performance references to be considered relevant.</p>
LM-036	RFP, pages 44-50, L.5 - L.5.3.5(iii)(d)	<p>Instructions require submission of many separate files. If there the offeror has nothing to submit for a particular file, e.g. SF30(Amendments), Vol_1_Iclauses, or Vol_1_BusSys, is it just not to submit that file or submit it with a statement as to why there is no information?</p>	Refer to Section L of the solicitation for submission instructions.
LM-037	Amendment 0002, section L.5.2.1.4(ii) (4)	<p>This reads: The Offeror must insert CBA 1, CBA 2, SCA or Exempt in the CBA/SCA/Exempt column for all proposed labor categories. (This is discussing the Attachment 0002 Staffing/Labor Mix document.)</p> <p>There is only one CBA incorporated in this solicitation; do we really need to use "CBA 1", or can we simply use "CBA" as a entry?</p>	There are two CBAs. Refer to Amendment 0004 which defines Attachment 0008 as CBA 1 and Attachment 0019 as CBA 2.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
LM-038	Amendment 0002, Page 18, Paragraph L.5.4.2.7.5(a) Direct Labor Rates,	Offerors shall assume a 3% escalation rate in each option year for proposed personnel and/or proposed categories of labor. Does the 3% escalation rate only apply to Exempt Positions? Escalation for SCA and CBA employees are developed differently and as required.	For evaluation purposes only, offerors shall include 3% escalation on all labor rates (exempt, CBA, and SCA).
LM-039	Conformed Sol. (A0004) Sect. L.5.4.2.7.5 (a), p. 54	Section L.5.4.2.7.5(a) states that "For evaluation purposes only, when proposing Direct Labor Rates, Offerors shall assume a 3% escalation rate in each option year for proposed personnel and/or proposed categories of labor." Is the 3% escalation rate required to be included in the Side by Side Comparison?	The side by side comparison is intended to serve as a crosswalk, which will allow the Government to correlate the offeror's position titles to the CBA and/or SCA position titles. The offeror is not required to include labor rates in this comparison.
LM-040	Amendment 5; Section L.5.4.2.7.5(a), page 18 of 26 and Question LM-030	Can the Government confirm that it wants Offerors to escalate ALL labor categories, regardless of whether they may be Exempt, CBA or SCA, by 3% for each option year?	Refer to LM-038.
LM-041	W52P1J13R0034_00002, Page #18 L.5.4.2.7.5(a)	The Government has provided no anticipated start dates or performance dates with this RFP. As the start date can have a profound impact on the CBA pay rates utilized, will the Government provide expected dates for pricing purposes only? If offerors use different start dates it can cause as much as a 3% difference per year in the pricing model due to escalation of the CBA positions between	Refer to GEN-009.
LM-042	Conformed Solicitation (A0004), Section L.5.4.2.7, p. 53 ("However, if the prime offeror selected a proposed teammate/subcontractor on a competitive basis, the prime offeror shall only provide its basis for the competitive Teammate/subcontractor selection. Therefore, the information detailed in the below paragraph is only required for the prime offeror and its proposed teammates/subcontractors that were selected on a noncompetitive basis.") change, FAR 52.244-5 Competition in Subcontracting (CIS) & FAR 52.244-2 Subcontracts.	Does the Competition in Subcontracting clause/provision actually apply to Set-asides vice Full & Open/Unrestricted TORFPs?	Yes, clauses 52.244-5 and 52 244-2 are applicable to the Ft. Bliss Task Order RFP.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
LM-043	Conformed Solicitation (A0004), Section L.5.4.2.7, p. 53 ("However, if the prime offeror selected a proposed teammate/subcontractor on a competitive basis, the prime offeror shall only provide its basis for the competitive Teammate/subcontractor selection. Therefore, the information detailed in the below paragraph is only required for the prime offeror and its proposed teammates/subcontractors that were selected on a noncompetitive basis.") change, FAR 52.244-5 Competition in Subcontracting (CIS) & FAR 52.244-2 Subcontracts.	Will Small Business Offerors w/out an approved Purchasing System be allowed to utilize this approach?	Yes, however, please note per L.5.1.7, award cannot be made if the offeror does not have an adequate accounting system.
LM-044	Conformed Solicitation (A0004), Section L.5.4.2.7, p. 53 ("However, if the prime offeror selected a proposed teammate/subcontractor on a competitive basis, the prime offeror shall only provide its basis for the competitive Teammate/subcontractor selection. Therefore, the information detailed in the below paragraph is only required for the prime offeror and its proposed teammates/subcontractors that were selected on a noncompetitive basis.") change, FAR 52.244-5 Competition in Subcontracting (CIS) & FAR 52.244-2 Subcontracts.	<p>This requirement seems to be an onerous/unduly burdensome requirement on Small Businesses considering among other things the subcontract consent requirements of FAR 52.244-2 and the fact that based on a Small Business BOA Holder's Team Arrangement, they are limited to soliciting only their approved Teammates thereby effectively negating the true full & openness and increased competition intent/objective of the subcontracting process in general and the CIS requirements specifically.</p> <p>In light of this new option/alternative, will Govt. allow additional time for Offerors to utilize this approach/process for this TORFP?</p>	Please review the latest amendment that is released for the current closing date and time.
PWS-001	PWS	Will the PWS be tailored to match the workload areas identified in the Attachment 0005 CLINs?	Refer to ATT-022
PWS-002	PWS, Section C-5 Page 28, 5.11.1	Can the government confirm that contractors are to provide Quality Assurance Specialists (QASAS) as stated in PWS 5.11.1? We generally see this as a government program/position.	The QASAS is a governmental function and is not included in this effort at this time. PWS 5.11.1 correction has been made.
PWS-003	PWS, Section C-5 Page 28, 5.11.1	Laundry and Dry Cleaning, HAZMAT Supply and Services, and Subsistence Supply Management Office are identified as areas of responsibility listed in paragraph 5.11.1. Will the Government please provide workload data associated with these mission requirements?	TE 5-S-202 has been updated to reflect the additional areas.
PWS-004	PWS, Section C-5 Page 31, 5.14.7	5.14.7 indicates that the contractor will provide an Installation Small Arms Serialization Security Officer (SASSO). Will the government please provide workload data associated with small arms storage mission?	The small arms storage mission is captured in the CRC issue and turn-ins data within TE 5-001 M-S-T.
PWS-005	PWS, Section C-5 Page 31, 5.14.6	Please change paragraph 5.1.4.6 to 5.14.6	Change made.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
PWS-006	PWS, Section C-5 Page 31, 5.14.7	Please change paragraph 5.1.4.7 to 5.14.7	Change made.
PWS-007	PWS, Section C-5, Page 32, 5.14.10	The contractor shall operate the vehicle and equipment (CL VII) storage yard for track and wheel vehicle and other CL VII equipment. Will the government please provide workload data for this mission requirement?	Workload data provided in TE 5-S-202
PWS-008	PWS, Section C-5, Page 32, 5.15	Will the Government please provide workload data for supporting the CONUS Replacement Center (CRC) mission?	The workload data in TE 5-S-202 and TE 5-001 M-S-T have been updated to reflect the CRC effort of Issue and turn-in and associated functions for 800 total personnel (500 contractors, 200 military, and 100 civilians).
PWS-009	PWS, Section C-5 Page 58, 5.20	Can the Government please provide workload data for meal tickets and load plans?	Refer to EX-010
PWS-010	PWS, Section C-5 Page 58, 5.20.5	There is a sentence that is repeated that starts at line 3 and ends on line 7 of the paragraph. Recommend deleting one of the sentences.	Change made.
PWS-011	Attachment 1, PWS, Para 5.20 Unit Movements	This is required in the PWS but there is no corresponding projected workload in Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T, Will Exhibit Z be updated or will the PWS be	Refer to EX-002
PWS-012	Attachment 1, PWS, Para 5.21 A/DACG	This is required in the PWS but there is no corresponding projected workload in Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T, Will Exhibit Z be updated or will the PWS be changed?	Refer to EX-002
PWS-013	Attachment 1, PWS, Para 5.22 Container Yard Operations	This is required in the PWS but there is no corresponding projected workload in Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T, Will Exhibit Z be updated or will the PWS be changed?	Refer to EX-002
PWS-014	Attachment 1, PWS, Para 5.23 Passenger Movements	This is required in the PWS but there is no corresponding projected workload in Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T, Will Exhibit Z be updated or will the PWS be changed?	Refer to EX-002
PWS-015	Attachment 1, PWS, Para 5.24 Rail Services	This is required in the PWS but there is no corresponding projected workload in Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T, Will Exhibit Z be updated or will the PWS be changed?	Refer to EX-002
PWS-016	Attachment 1, PWS, Para 5.25 Freight Services	This is required in the PWS but there is no corresponding projected workload in Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T, Will Exhibit Z be updated or will the PWS be changed?	Refer to EX-002
PWS-017	Attachment 1, PWS, Para 5.15 CRC	This is required in the PWS but there is no corresponding projected workload in Exhibit Z, TE 5-001 M-S-T, Will Exhibit Z be updated or will the PWS be changed?	Refer to PWS-008
PWS-018	Att0001, PWS, pg 21, PWS 1.5.9	PWS 1.5.9 calls out the Antiterrorism, OPSEC and IA Training CDRL under 2 different CDRL numbers - PM-07 and PM-19. Please clarify.	PM-07 is the correct CDRL. The PWS will be updated to reflect.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
PWS-019	Att0001, PWS, pg 102, PWS 5.15.3	The PWS states "The Contractor shall submit a weekly report of all RFI issues, receipts, discrepancies (if any), shortage, changes, and any other problems that may arise from this process. The report will be submitted to the ISO Representative, Accountable Officer, and the COR by COB Wednesday Thursday of each week." Which day of the week is the report due?	The report is due COB Thursday of each week. The PWS will be updated to reflect.
PWS-020	Attachment0001FtBlissPWS.pdf Section C-5 Page 3 Para 5.2.2.1	Definition of CCEP acronym not provided in the RFP. Question: Will the Government provide a definition for CCEP?	CCEP acronym deleted from PWS 5.2.2.1
PWS-021	Attachment0001FtBlissPWS.pdf Section C-5 Page 3 Para 5.2.2.2	PWS states to perform on-site, scheduled, Field Level maintenance on weapons drawn from the Consolidated Equipment Pool on extended (annual or longer) tally. Question: Will the Government provide the number of weapons listed on each extended tally?	Workload is included under row I in TE 5-M-102.
PWS-022	Attachment0001FtBlissPWS.pdf Section C-5 Page 3 Para 5.2.2.2	PWS states to perform on-site, scheduled, Field Level maintenance on weapons drawn from the Consolidated Equipment Pool on extended (annual or longer) tally. Question: Will the Government provide the number extended tallies?	Workload is included under row I in TE 5-M-102.
PWS-023	Attachment0001FtBlissPWS.pdf Section C-5 Page 3 Para 5.2.2.2	Question: Will the Government provide the frequency in which the Range Support Teams will be required to provide on range support?	Workload is included under row I in TE 5-M-102.
PWS-024	Attachment0001FtBlissPWS.pdf Section C-5 Page 3 Para 5.4.4	PWS states contractor shall coordinate the issue and receipt of all weapons, systems, and components in accordance with the Fort Bliss Consolidated Equipment Pool Policy 700-5, TE 6-009. Question: Will the Government provide Fort Bliss Consolidated Equipment Pool Policy 700-5, TE 6-009?	No, this reference to Fort Bliss Consolidated Equipment Pool Policy will be removed from the PWS. AR 735-5, AR 710-2 and DA PAM 710-2-1/2 governs issues, turn-ins and receipts of all equipment. Access AR online if needed.
PWS-025	PWS Section C-5 Page 28 Para 5.11	PWS requires the contractor to provide support services "to....Supply Management Office (SSMO, formerly known. as TISA)" TE 6 provides no workload data for the SSMO. Question: Will the Government provide workload data for the SSMO?	Refer to EX-020
PWS-026	PWS, Para 5.18.1	"Transportation workload data can be found under TE 5-T-302 Transportation Workload Data FY12 and TE 5-T-302A Transportation Workload Data FY13." Comment: TE 5-T-302 contains FY11 data. There is no TE 5-T-302A nor FY12/FY13 data. Question: Is it the governments intent to utilize only FY11 workload data in developing staffing to support the Transportation Division?	PWS has been updated. Please use the workload data provided in TE 5-T-302 and TE 5-001 M-S-T.
PWS-027	PWS, Para 5.20.5	"Technical Exhibit 5-002 displays historical levels of exercises and deployments." Comment: TE 5-002 was not provided and does not appear on the ASFI website.	There is no TE 5-002 Historical Levels. PWS correction made.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
PWS-028	PWS, Page C-3.5, Section 3.7.1	TE 3-002, GFE is missing. Will this exhibit be provided?	TE-G-001 GFP contains the GFE.
PWS-029	PWS, Page C-4.1, Section 4.1.1	TE 1-005, Individual Tool Sample Listing, is provided TE 4-G-005. Will this reference be corrected in the PWS?	Yes. Correction to PWS was made.
PWS-030	PWS, Page C-5.1, Section 5.1.3	TE 5-M-102 shows the document titled TE 5-M102A; Will ME 5-M-102 be provided. Is TE 5-M-103 the same as 103A - 103I?	TE 5-M102 includes workload data that previously was titled M102A. There is no M102A. TE 5-M103 B thru K are Density List by Shop.
PWS-031	PWS, Page C-5.18, PRS 5.2.2.2/ 5.3.1	TE 5.4-005, Sample of Services, is missing. Will this exhibit be provided?	There is no TE 4-005 Sample of Services. Correction to PWS was made.
PWS-032	PWS, Page C-5.25, PRS 5.8.33	TE 5.4-007, Sources of Work, is missing. Will this exhibit be provided?	There is no TE 4-007 Sources of Work. Correction to PWS was made.
PWS-033	PWS, Page C-5.28, Section 5.11.1	TE 5-S202A, Supply Workload FY13, is missing. Will this exhibit be provided?	There is no TE 5-S-202A. All Supply workload is under TE 5-S-202.
PWS-034	PWS, Page C-5.28, Section 5.11.3.1	TE 4-012, CSDP IPBO Checklist, is missing. Will this exhibit be provided?	The reference to TE 4-012 CSDP IPBO Checklist has been removed from the PWS.
PWS-035	PWS, Page C-5.32, Section 5.15.2	TE 5-3.019, FRI Items, is missing. Will this exhibit be provided?	The reference to TE 5-3.019 FRI Items has been removed from the PWS.
PWS-036	PWS, Page C-5.56, Section 5.18.1	TE 5T-302A, Transportation Workload Data FY13, is missing. Will this exhibit be provided?	All Transportation Workload data is included in TE 5-T302. There is no TE 5-T-302A.
PWS-037	PWS, Page C-5.60, Section 5.25.2	TE 2, Reports, is missing. Will this exhibit be provided?	Reference to TE 2-T08, T09 deleted from PWS 5.25.2. There is no such TE.
PWS-038	PWS, Page C-1.17, Section 1.6.4	The PWS paragraph states: "The use of any additional internal quality processes, beyond what is required in paragraph 1.6.2 will be considered incidental to this effort and will not be directly reimbursable." Please clarify the intent of this sentence.	Additional internal quality processes, audits, studies beyond what is directly required to support the requirements of this effort are not reimbursable. Refer to updated PWS 1.6.4.
PWS-039	PWS, Page C-1.24, Section 1.11.2.9	IMO/Systems Administrator (SA) duties will compile the Accreditation of STAMIS and systems peculiar to the installation and be submitted through the DOL LP&OD LAC to NEC. Certificate revision is required every three years unless a change of environment or equipment occurs. Is the IMO/SA referenced a contractor employee?	Yes, please refer to the updated PWS.
PWS-040	PWS, Page C-5.58, Section 5.20.5	This PWS paragraph references Technical Exhibit 5-002 for historical workload. This TE was not provided with the RFP documents, please provide or clarify the reference.	Refer to updated PWS 5.20.5 removing the reference to TE 5-0002

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
PWS-041	PWS, Page C-5.7, Section 5.4.2	This PWS paragraph states: "The Contractor shall maintain 24-hour security via installed intrusion detection systems (IDS) and closed circuit video monitoring of the perimeter and facilities. In the event of failure of Intrusion Detection System, the Contractor shall be required to monitor the facility via the closed circuit video system in order to ensure security until inoperative systems are repaired. The security force will be responsible for monitoring and verifying authorization for entry." Is there a central monitoring center where IDS and closed circuit monitoring equipment is housed? If so, what facility is used for this purpose? Is it the Government's intent that a monitoring center be staffed 24/7 by security personnel or is man coverage only required when the IDS fails or goes off line?	The successful offeror will maintain the intrusion detection system, and will monitor the facility in the event the system is inoperable. There is not currently a requirement for 24/7 contractor security or monitoring.
PWS-042	Attachment 0001; Section C-5; Page 5; Paragraph 5.2.5 Attachment 0001; Section C-5; Pages 29-30; Paragraph 5.13.2	Will the Government please provide offerors with a PDTE density list?	PDTE Density List provided in TE 5-M-102.
PWS-043	Section C – 5 Page 28; 5.11.3.1	The CSDP Installation Property Book Office (IPBO) checklist, Technical Exhibit 4-012, is missing from the government provided documents.	There is no TE 4-012. PWS reference removed
PWS-044	Section C – 5 Page 32; 5.15.2	Technical Exhibit 5.3-019 (Special Purpose Items) is missing from the government provided documents.	Refer to PWS-031
PWS-045	Section C – 5PRS Page 18; 5.2.2.2; 5.3.1	Technical Exhibit 5.4-005 is missing from the government provided documents.	There is no TE 5.4-005. PWS reference removed
PWS-046	Section C – 5 Page 1; 5.1.3	TE 5-M-102A: Maintenance Workload FY13 is missing from the government provided documents.	There is no TE 5-M-102A. All Maintenance workload is included under TE 5-M-102, please see updated PWS.
PWS-047	Section C – 5 Page 28; 5.11.1	TE 5-S-202A Supply Workload FY13 Apr 13 is missing from the government provided documents.	There is no TE 5-S-202A. All Supply workload is included under TE 5-S-202, please see updated PWS.
PWS-048	Section C – 5 Page 56; 5.18.1	TE 5-T-302A Transportation Workload Data FY13 is missing from the government provided documents.	There is no TE 5-T-302A. All Transportation workload is included under TE 5-T-302, please see updated PWS.
PWS-049	Section C – 5 Page 58; 5.20.5	Technical Exhibit 5-002, historical levels of exercises and deployments, is missing from the government provided documents.	There is no TE 5-002 Historical Levels, please see updated PWS.
PWS-050	Section C – 5PRS Page 25; 5.8.3.3	Technical Exhibit 5.4-007 is missing from the government provided documents.	Refer to PWS-032
PWS-051	Section C – 5 Page 1; 5.1.1	Could the government provide a listing of the PDTE that needs to be accounted for and maintained?	Refer to PWS-042
PWS-052	Section C –1 Page 26; 1.11.5	Could the government provide the historical hours associated to the "first line" support?	Projected hours are not available. Offerors should not propose staffing to support this effort.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
PWS-053	Section C – 5 Page 5; 5.3.2	Can the government provide the projected hours related to the Modification of Military Equipment Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T?	Hours provided in TE 5-M-102
PWS-054	Section C-1, Page 8, PWS 1.3.13	Is a SF-85P hard copy required or will an OF 306 provide enough personal information required to initiate an e-QIP investigation?	The SF 85 will be processed electronically. PWS paragraph 1.3.13 has been updated to include additional information on the process.
PWS-055	PWS 5.24.1 The Contactor shall conduct all portions of rail operations in accordance with DTR 4500.9-R	No workload was provided for the rail operations. What is the expected workload for rail operations at Fort Bliss to meet requirements of the PWS 5.24.1?	Workload data provided under TE 5-T-302
PWS-056	PWS 5.24.1 The Contactor shall conduct all portions of rail operations in accordance with DTR 4500.9-R	Is the contractor required to provide operators for the Government locomotives?	Offeror is required to perform all functions related to rail operations. PWS has been revised to expand on the requirements
PWS-057	PWS 5.15.1 Contractor shall support the CIF process for soldiers, DA civilians and Contractors assigned to the CRC training for deployment and redeployment. Tasks include but are not limited to issue, receipt, accountability and storage of assigned equipment as authorized by deployment/redeployment orders.	No workload was provided for the CRC. What is the expected workload for the CRC at Fort Bliss to meet requirements of the PWS 5.15.1?	Refer to PWS-008
PWS-058	Para 5.15.2, Page Section C-5, Page 32	Refers to RFI items in Technical Exhibit 5.3-019. This Tech Exhibit is not in release packet.	There is no TE 5.3-019, please see updated PWS.
PWS-059	Para 5.1.3, Page Section C-5, Page 1	Refers to Maintenance workload in Technical Exhibit 5.-M-103. This Tech Exhibit is not in release packet.	Refer to PWS-030
PWS-060	Para 5.13.2, Page Section C-5, Page 29	What is required Operational Readiness Rate for PDTE vehicles?	This information is available in the PDTE SOP and may fluctuate from time to time depending on requirements.
PWS-061	PWS Section C-5, Page 59, para. 5.23	PWS discusses Passenger Movements requirement, but workload does not show manhours associated with Passenger Movements support in Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Worload Direct Hours. Will this workload be included in Exhibit Z TE 5-001 for minimum hours?	The manhours provided in TE 5-001 M-S-T include manhours to support passenger movements. TE 5-T-301 has been updated to reflect current levels of passenger movements
PWS-062	Attachment 0001; Section C-1; Page 16; Paragraph 1.6.1	Is the contractor required to obtain ISO compliance at the site or project level within 180 days of start of work?	YES. Start of work is the same as Notice to proceed date.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
PWS-063	RFP, Page 27, I-151 52.228-4567 Required Insurance and PWS, Section C – 5 Page 58, 5.21	Clause I-151 states that Contractors shall procure and maintain Aircraft Public and Passenger Liability insurance during the entire period of performance under this contract when contract performance involves the use of aircraft. Does the work specified under PWS paragraph 5.21 qualify as the use of aircraft?	The work requirements specified under PWS paragraph 5.21 do not qualify as aircraft operations.
PWS-064	PWS Section C.1.4.1	The PWS indicates that the regular core hours are 0730 - 1730. Are we correct in assuming therefore that there is no regular 2nd or 3rd shift work for any of the PWS sections?	Core hours are provided. Offeror's hours of operation must be commensurate with the supported effort and be coordinated with the COR, PCO. See response to Q-015 for additional information.
PWS-065	PWS Section C.5.24	Are the locomotive engineers government workers, separate contract workers, or part of this requirement?	Refer to PWS-056
PWS-066	PWS Para 1.1 states that Fort Bliss is currently home to the 1st Armored Division. The minimum productive hours in Exhibit Z do not appear to include the maintenance support of an armored division (i.e., 2,029 hours of heavy vehicle maintenance per year).	Does the FBTX DOL support the maintenance requirements of the 1st Armored Division?	Yes. This effort does include support to 1AD.
PWS-067	PWS Para 1.1 states that Fort Bliss is currently home to the 1st Armored Division. The minimum productive hours in Exhibit Z do not appear to include the maintenance support of an armored division (i.e., 2,029 hours of heavy vehicle maintenance per year).	If the FBTX DOL mission includes supporting the maintenance requirements of the 1st Armored Division, please provide minimum man hour data for this IMD function/revised the current Exhibit Z workload data.	This effort does include support to 1AD. Workload data reflected under TE 5-M-102
PWS-068	PWS, Section C-5, Para 5.2.2.1, Page 3	The acronym or abbreviation "CCEP" appears in this paragraph, but is not listed in Para 2.2, Acronyms and Abbreviations. What does it mean?	CCEP acronym deleted from PWS 5.2.2.1
PWS-069	PWS, Section C-5, Para 5.20.1, Page 57	The acronym or abbreviation "UMB" appears in this paragraph, but is not listed in Para 2.2, Acronyms and Abbreviations. What does it mean?	Refer to EX-132

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
PWS-070	PWS 5.24.1, Page 59; and PRS 5.24.1, Page 77	The PWS is vague about the responsibilities of the contractor with respect to Rail Operations, stating, "conduct all portions of rail operations IAW DTR 4500.0-R and AR 56-3." The Section C-5 PRS, pages 77-79 provides additional clarity, which we interpret to mean that Rail Operations includes the scheduling, paperwork, in and around track housekeeping tasks, and coordination with the Rail Carrier. Is our interpretation correct?	Refer to PWS-056
PWS-071	PWS 5.24.1, Page 59; and PRS 5.24.1, Page 77	Section C-5, PRS, PWS 5.24.1 states that the contractor is responsible for preventive maintenance tasks associated with Government-owned locomotives. How many Government-owned locomotives are on hand, and does the requirement include operating the locomotives in any way?	There are 4 Government-owned locomotives. Refer to PWS-056 for additional information regarding contractor rail operation responsibilities.
PWS-072	PWS para 1.3.2.1 (Sec C-1, p4) and PWS para 1.4.5 (Sec C-1, p11)	These 2 paragraphs seem to conflict to some extent in regard to the submission of Project Manager (PM) and Alternate PM (APM) names to the Government. Para 1.3.2.1 instructs offerors to submit a list of key personnel upon award while para 1.4.5 states that offerors provide name and contact information for the PM and APM no later than 15 days after award. Can offerors assume that para 1.4.5 (as it specifically pertains to these 2 positions) takes precedence over para	Both paragraphs have been updated to reflect 10 days after notice to proceed.
PWS-073	PWS para 3.3	Will the Government provide cell phones and service for Key and/or essential contractor personnel?	No. The government normally does not provide contractor employees with mobile communication devices. However, the costs of the required and approved devices and the associated service(s) are reimbursable under this effort and are included in the ODC figure provided. Please see ODC definition within PWS Section C-2 for additional information.
PWS-074	PWS para 3.3	Will cell phone service for contractor personnel be cost reimbursable?	Refer to PWS-073.
PWS-075	PWS para 1.10.13 (Sec C-1, p22)	This paragraph states that the Contractor will ensure that the latrine facilities are properly re-stocked. Can offerors assume that the Government will pay for latrine supplies as mentioned in PWS paragraph 3.1.1 (Sec C-3, p1) or is the Offeror responsible for the cost of these supplies?	Refer to PWS paragraph 3.5.8; latrine supplies are at the government's expense.
PWS-076	PWS para 5.2.2.6.1 (Sec C-5, p4)	Emergency Equipment Support. Can the Government clarify if this level of support is considered on call or does this require shift work to support?	PWS has been updated to reflect on-call.
PWS-077	PWS para 5.2.2.6.1 (Sec C-5, p4)	If Emergency Equipment support is "on-call", what are the associated response times to provide this support?	It varies depending on circumstances. As identified in PWS 5.2.2.6.1, Contractor is required to minimize TAT IAW AR 750-1
PWS-078	PWS para 5.2.2.6.1 (Sec C-5, p4)	If Emergency Equipment support is "on-call", does the COR have to approve it before execution starts as overtime costs will apply?	PWS paragraph 1.4.1 has been updated to indicate COR approval is required for overtime approval.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
PWS-079	PWS para 5.2.2.6.1 (Sec C-5, p4)	What is the projected workload associated with this type of emergency support?	Due to the variability and inability to predict, emergency support should not be proposed as part of this effort.
PWS-080	PWS para 5.2.2.6.1 (Sec C-5, p4)	If Emergency support is considered "on-call", will the Government reimburse the Offeror for overtime costs associated with providing this level of support?	Overtime for this effort is handled in accordance with the FAR, Department of Labor and other laws, regulations.
PWS-081	PWS para 5.2.2.5 (Sec C-5, p3)	Does the AMCOM/ASC equipment referenced herein refer to one of the equipment lists provided as a Technical Exhibit (TE) to this solicitation?	Densitiy lists can be found in TE 5-M-103
PWS-082	PWS para 5.2.2.5 (Sec C-5, p3)	If the AMCOM/ASC equipment referenced herein refers to one of the equipment lists provided as a Technical Exhibit (TE) to this solicitation, can the Government identify which TE it is?	Refer to PWS-081.
PWS-083	PWS para 5.2.2.5 (Sec C-5, p3)	If the AMCOM/ASC equipment referenced herein does not refer to one of the equipment lists provided as a Technical Exhibit (TE) to this solicitation, can the Government provide the list of equipment to which this reference applies?	Refer to PWS-081.
PWS-084	PWS para 5.2.5 (Sec C-5, p5)	The PWS refers to a Maintenance Contract Augmentation Team (MCAT), however, this term is not defined in the list of definitions or acronyms provided. Can the Government clarify the mission, composition and workload of this team?	Please see updated PWS.
PWS-085	PWS para 5.26.1 (Sec C-5, p 61)	The PWS references a requirement to provide after duty hour service to support emergency transportation requirements. Can the Government clarify whether this level of support is considered "On-call" or does this require shift work to support?	PWS has been updated to reflect on-call.
PWS-086	PWS para 5.26.1 (Sec C-5, p 61)	If Emergency transportation requirements are considered "on-call", does the COR have to approve it before execution starts as overtime costs will apply?	Refer to PWS-078
PWS-087	PWS para 5.26.1 (Sec C-5, p 61)	What is the projected workload associated with this type of emergency support?	Refer to PWS-079
PWS-088	PWS para 5.26.1 (Sec C-5, p 61)	If Emergency transportation requirements are considered "on-call", will the Government reimburse the Offeror for cell phones and cell phone service to provide this level of support?	Refer to PWS-073
PWS-089	PWS para 5.26.1 (Sec C-5, p 61)	If Emergency transportation requirements are considered "on-call", will the Government reimburse the Offeror for overtime costs associated with providing this level of support?	Refer to PWS-080
PWS-090	Attachment 0001, PWS, Section C-1 Page 22, Para 1.10.12	PWS Para. 1.10.12 states that all contractor owned equipment must be removed from the installation within 20 days of contract end. Does the government intend the incumbent contractor to be off the installation 20 days after contract award?	PWS Para 1.10.12 does not apply to the incumbent contractor. The transition period from the incumbent to the successful offeror under this contract has been established as 60 days after notice to proceed (see PWS paragraph 1.4.6). At the end of this contract, the requirements at PWS paragraph 1.4.6.1 apply.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
PWS-091	Generally, e.g., fluctuating workloads and the need for contractor flexibility regarding same and Specifically PWS Sect. 1.1.3, et al. and Sol. Sect. L.5.2.1.1(i), p. 46 and M.4.1.2(i) Flexible Labor Force, p. 60.	To properly respond (e.g., adequacy/feasibility of approach) to this proposal requirement and completion of Att. 0002, e.g., identification of part-time personnel, does the Govt. have a historical and/or estimated/projected percentage of work that is associated w/these surge requirements that they can provide?	Not at this time. Offerors should prepare their proposals IAW workload and minimum productive hours provided in TE 5-M-102, TE 5-S-202, TE 5-T-302, and TE 5-001 M-S-T
PWS-092	PWS page Section C –1 para 1.12. CONUS Replacement Center (CRC). The Contractor will provide logistics support for deploying and redeploying personnel that include, but not be limited to: Handle post coordination with DOL and DPW	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours provides no projections to support this operation. Will the Government be providing any Projected Workload Direct Hours for the Offeror to establish adequate staffing to support this operation.	No, limited cordination is made with DOL and none with DPW other than routine service request for repairs.
PWS-093	PWS page Section C – 5 Para 5.20 Unit Movements 5.20.1 The Contractor shall provide trained and certified personnel to operate equipment in support of CONUS Replacement Center (CRC) as required, deployments/redeployments and the transport of personnel, groups, and unit equipment to and from Fort Bliss IAW the Fort Bliss Unit Movement SOP, FORSCOM Reg 55-1, AR 55-19, FM 55-312, FM 55-10, FM 55-12, FM 55-65, FM 55-30, TM 38-250, and 49 CFR.	Exhibit Z TE 5-001 M-S-T Projected Workload Direct Hours provides no projections to support this operation. Will the Government be providing any Projected Workload Direct Hours for the Offeror to establish adequate staffing to support this operation.	Refer to EX-002 and PWS-008
PWS-094	Fort Bliss PWS, Section C-1, Page 4, paragraph 1.3.2.1 and Page 8 paragraph 1.3.12	PWS paragraph 1.3.2.1 states "Contractor personnel that are in or expected to fill a key position will have a SECRET security clearance prior to start of contract/start of work." However PWS paragraph 1.3.12 states "All Contractor employees assigned or designated for key positions will possess current SECRET security clearances at time of award." When are contractor employees in Key positions required to have a Secret Clearance?	Contractor personnel that are expected to fill a key position will have a SECRET security clearance prior to Notice to Proceed to start work. Please note that Notice to Proceed to start work could be as early as contract award date. It is the contractor's responsibility to ensure that all personnel possess the required clearances in accordance with the required performance start date. In addition, please note that offerors are required to possess a SECRET Facility Clearance at time of proposal submission. The PWS paragraphs 1.3.2.1 and 1.3.12 have been revised to clarify.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
PWS-095	Section C-1, Page 8, PWS 1.3.14	Is there a security transition form for incumbent employees or employees who currently have a NACI? Or can an OF 306 be used for employee verification?	No form is required for individuals transitioning from one contract to another. The Government Security Office will be able to provide verification of existing investigations. Refer to updated PWS paragraph 1.3.13 for additional information.
PWS-096	Para 5.11.1 Page Section C-5 Page 28	ISSD section discusses TE 5-S-202A Supply Workload FY 13 Apr 13. This Tech Exhibit is not in the release packet.	Refer to PWS-047
PWS-097	PWS Para 5.19	Does the government provide the Quality Assurance Inspector for the Personal Property Shipping Office or is the contractor expected to perform that task?	The Government will provide QA inspector.
PWS-098	PWS Para 3.5.7	Question: Will the Government allow Personal Protective Equipment such as safety glasses, hard hats, reflective vests and safety shoes/boots to be reimbursed under any of the ODC CLINs?	Generally, yes as long as they are OSHA required. All are subject to PCO approval. Refer back to PWS para 3.5.7 for additional information.
PWS-099	PWS 3.1.2 says, "An initial inventory of government furnished property will be made jointly by the Contractor and the appropriate Government representative or incoming / outgoing Contractor as appropriate and in accordance with AR 71-32, AR 710-2, DA PAM 710-2-1 and AR 735-5 within 30 business days of the start date of the first performance period."	Is the meaning of "within 30 business days of the start date of the first performance period" the same as "within 30 business days of the NTP date"?	Yes. However, PWS will be updated to reflect Notice to Proceed date vs start date of the first performance period.
PWS-100	Attachment 0001; Section C-5; Page 7; Paragraph 5.5	Will the Government please provide a maintenance density list for Shop L, Allied Trades?	The requested information is not available and will not be provided.
PWS-101	Attachment 0001; Section C-5; Page 54; Paragraph 5.19	Does the Government provide Quality Control Inspectors to inspect carrier performance of the packing or unpacking of Household Goods, or is it incumbent upon the contractor to provide Personal Property Shipping Office Quality Control Inspectors?	Quality Control inspectors will be provided by Government.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
PWS-102	Ref 1: Attachment 0002 - Staffing Labor Mix (Base Year Tab) from Amendment 2. Ref 2: RFP page 3 of 26, top of page, paragraph c abd d from Amendment 5. Ref 3: Attachment 0001 - PWS paragraph 1.4.6 at page 11 of Amendment 5. Ref 4: Q&A #Gen-013.	Reference 3 (Amendment 5 PWS at Paragraph 1.4.6) has been changed from a 60-day transition to reflect a transition not to exceed 90 days. References 1 and 2 reflect a 60-day transition and Reference 4 stated the Government would keep transition at 60-days. Will the Government please clarify whether transition is 90 days IAW the new Reference 3 or will remain 60-days IAW References 1, 2, and 4? If 90 days, will the Government please issue a new Attachment 0002 for BOA Holders to include in their proposal response and update the periods of performance for the RFP to reflect the new transition period?	Transition period is 60 days. The PWS will be updated accordingly.
PWS-103	PWS Cover page	The PWS cover page references "Directorate of Logistics". At the site visit, the Government indicated that this DOL is now called a Logistics Readiness Center (LRC). When referring to this solicitation, should offerors refer to this effort as a DOL or LRC?	The PWS has been corrected to reflect Logistics Readiness Center. Please refer to Amend 0005 for this change.
PWS-104	Attachment 0001; Section C-5; Pages 57-58; Paragraph 5.20 Exhibit AO, TE 5-T-302	Convoy and Crane Support are clearly listed in the UMB Transportation Workload data; however, there is no specific paragraph in the PWS to identify these tasks requirements other than the general requirements in 5.20.2. Where in Attachment 0002: Staffing/Labor Mix should offerors list the labor required to perform the Convoy and Crane support?	Offeror's should apply their proposed hours within the UMB Branch on the Attachment 0002.
PWS-105	PWS 1.11, Section C 1, pg 23	Is the Contractor Required to provide a Systems Administrator for supported STAMISs	No. The contractor will appoint an IASO but Network will be administered by the NEC. PWS para 1.11.2.3
PWS-106	Section C – 5 Page 32; 5.15.5	The government added a new requirement for Sewing services under the CRC. There does not appear to have been any additional workload added to Exhibit Z. Can the government confirm that the workload for sewing services is already included in the workload in exhibit Z? If not can the government give an estimated amount of time dedicated to sewing services?	Refer to EX-149.
PWS-107	PWS 5.15.1, C-5, pg 32	PWS Task 5.15.1 states "Contractor shall support the CIF process for soldiers, DA civilians and Contractors assigned to the CRC training for deployment and redeployment." No workload was provided for the CRC. Question: Is it the Government's intent to use a portion of the workload provided for CIF operations to cover the CRC, or is the Government going to provide separate workload data for the CRC?	Refer to PWS-008.
PWS-108	PWS Task 5.23, C-5, pg 57	PWS task 5.23 shows a requirement for Passenger Movements yet no workload has been given. Question: Is the Government going to provide workload data for Passenger Movements?	Refer to EX-002 and PWS-061.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.

Category	RFP Reference	Question	Response
RFP-001	Solicitation, Section 2, Page 12, Paragraph c	Section 2-H " Contractor Performance Measurement Review", subpara (c), indicates that fee is at risk for measureable non-conformances. Will the government consider increased fee for performance above contract requirements?	No incentive terms will be included in this task order.
RFP-002	Solicitation W52P1J-13-R-0034, Page 15, Para 3-H(b)	Final invoice for Transition-In CLIN is stated. IS there a schedule for invoicing for the base period and if so, please tell us what that schedule is.	There is no specific invoicing schedule for the base period. Please review the instructions/guidance provided in the solicitation for the WAWF clauses.
RFP-003	Amendment 0002, SF33, box 11	Box 11 of the SF33 states the new due date for proposals is December 6, 2013. Page three of the amendment states, "g) The closing date and time will be extended indefinitely. The Government will provide an amendment the first week in December to establish the appropriate closing date or to provide an update. The closing date and time given in Block 11 is a system requirement and not the actual closing date." Will the government please clarify the due date for proposals?	Please review the latest amendment that is released for the current closing date and time.
RFP-004	Solicitation W52P1J-13-R-0034, Page 14, Para 1-H(f)	In the event that the Contracting Officer requires work to begin prior to establishment of pricing should that not be a written requirement?	IF the Government requires work to begin before establishing pricing, any contract action will be conducted in accordance with FAR 52.243-2 Alt 1 and 52.216-25.
RFP-005	Solicitation W52P1J-13-R-0034, Page 15, Para 2-H(c)	Just want to point out the Percentage of Fee listed. Are the percentages added together if there is a critical and major or minor nonconformance?	Each nonconformance would be handled on a case by case basis.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW.
 Newly answered questions are highlighted in yellow.